

# Therapeutic Class Overview Calcium Channel Blockers

# INTRODUCTION

- Approximately 121.5 million American adults are living with some form of cardiovascular disease (consisting of coronary heart disease, heart failure, stroke, and hypertension) according to the American Heart Association Heart (AHA)
   Disease and Stroke Statistics 2019 update. Cardiovascular disease accounts for nearly 840,678 deaths in the United States (US) annually (Benjamin et al 2019).
- Calcium channel blockade has certain effects that are specific to cardiac function. Coronary vascular smooth muscle relaxes when calcium channels are blocked which increases the flow of oxygenated blood into the myocardium and lowers coronary vascular resistance. In addition, calcium channel blocking agents (also called calcium channel blockers) decrease peripheral vascular resistance by relaxing arteriolar smooth muscle. Both coronary and systemic vasodilation serve to reduce cardiac workload (*Kannam et al 2019*, *Dobesh PP 2017*, *Michel T 2011*).
- The movement of calcium ions is essential for the function of all types of muscle, including cardiac muscle and vascular smooth muscle. For both cardiac and smooth muscle, the flow of calcium ions into the muscle cells through specific channels allows muscle contraction to occur. When this flow is reduced, the result is a weakening of muscle contraction and relaxation of muscle tissue (*Micromedex 2.0 2019, Kannam et al 2019*).
- The calcium channel blocking agents include dihydropyridines, which are similar in chemical structure, and non-dihydropyridines, which are a structurally heterogeneous group. Although they have different binding sites on the L-type calcium channel, both block the transmembrane influx of calcium ions into cardiac and vascular smooth muscle. The non-dihydropyridines also block the T-type calcium channel in the atrioventricular (AV) node (*Micromedex 2.0 2019, Kannam et al 2019, Dobesh PP 2017, Michel T 2011, Saseen 2017*).
- Dihydropyridines are more potent vasodilators than non-dihydropyridines due to greater selectivity for vascular smooth muscle. They have little effect on cardiac muscle contractility or conduction (Micromedex 2.0 2019, Kannam et al 2019).
  - All available dihydropyridine calcium channel blocking agents can be used in the treatment of hypertension, with the
    exception of nimodipine and immediate release nifedipine capsules. Although not a first-line treatment in all
    hypertensive patients, the dihydropyridines are generally effective but differ somewhat in other properties and effects.
  - Amlodipine, oral nicardipine, and long-acting nifedipine are effective treatment options for chronic stable angina.
     Short-acting agents, such as short-acting nifedipine, should be avoided due to increased cardiovascular and mortality risks in some patients as well as significant adverse effects, such as reflex tachycardia. Amlodipine is also indicated to reduce the risk of hospitalization due to angina and to reduce the risk of a coronary revascularization procedure in patients with recently documented coronary artery disease (CAD).
  - Amlodipine is the only calcium channel blocker that is Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved in combination with a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). Consensi (amlodipine/celecoxib) was FDA-approved on May 31, 2018 for the treatment of hypertension and osteoarthritis.
- The non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocking agents include diltiazem and verapamil and both agents are available in a variety of modified-release delivery systems that alter their pharmacokinetic properties, including onset and duration of action (*Micromedex 2.0 2019*). Non-dihydropyridines dilate the arteries somewhat less than dihydropyridines, but they also reduce heart rate and contractility (*Micromedex 2.0 2019, Kannam et al 2019, Weber et al 2014*).
  - The non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocking agents are indicated for use in the treatment of angina, arrhythmias, and hypertension. Diltiazem is a potent coronary vasodilator but is only a mild arterial vasodilator. Although it decreases AV node conduction, diltiazem does not have negative inotropic properties. Verapamil dilates coronary and peripheral arteries. It also slows conduction through the AV node and has negative inotropic and chronotropic effects (*Micromedex 2.0, 2019*).
  - Guidelines stipulate that a non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker may be prescribed in certain patients, often with co-morbid indications. Non-dihydropyridine calcium-channel blocking agents are not recommended for the routine treatment of heart failure because of their negative inotropic action and risk of worsening heart failure (Yancy et al 2013, Yancy et al 2016, Yancy et al 2017). Caution is also advised in elderly patients. Guidelines generally reserve non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers for patients with high risk cardiovascular diseases and



arrhythmias; therefore, they are usually reserved for progressive cardiovascular and heart disease (*Al-Khatib et al 2017*, *American Geriatrics Society 2015*, *Amsterdam et al 2014*, *Fihn et al 2014*, *Go et al 2014*, *January et al 2014*, *KDIGO 2012*, *Williams et al 2018*, *Montalescot et al 2013*, *Page et al 2016*, *Rosendorff et al 2015*, *Weber et al 2014*).

- Calcium channel blockers are also included in various combination products (eg, amlodipine-benazepril); however, these combination agents are not included in this review.
- Since there are several branded agents that contain the same generic component, the remaining tables in the review are organized by generic name. This review encompasses all dosage forms and strengths with the exception of injectable indications and formulations used primarily in an institutional setting.
- Medispan Therapeutic Class: Calcium Channel Blockers

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Drug	Generic Availability
Dihydropyridines	•
Adalat CC (nifedipine extended-release)	✓
Consensi (amlodipine/celecoxib)	-
Felodipine extended-release	✓
Isradipine	✓
Katerzia (amlodipine suspension)	<u>-</u>
Nicardipine	✓
Nimodipine	✓
Nisoldipine extended-release	✓
Norvasc (amlodipine)	✓
Nymalize (nimodipine)	-
Procardia (nifedipine)	✓
Procardia XL (nifedipine extended-release)	✓
Sular (nisoldipine extended-release)	✓
Non- dihydropyridines	
Calan (verapamil) tablet	✓
Calan SR (verapamil extended-release) tablet	<b>✓</b>
Cardizem (diltiazem) tablet	<b>✓</b>
Cardizem CD* (diltiazem extended-release) capsule	✓
Cardizem LA <sup>†</sup> (diltiazem extended-release) tablet	✓
Dilacor XR <sup>‡</sup> (diltiazem extended-release) capsule	✓
Tiazac§ (diltiazem extended-release) capsule	<b>✓</b>
Verelan (verapamil sustained-release) capsule	<b>✓</b>
Verelan PM (verapamil extended-release) capsule	<b>v</b>

<sup>\*</sup>Cartia XT is a branded generic of Cardizem CD.

(Drugs @FDA 2019, Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations 2019)

# **INDICATIONS**

Table 2. FDA-Approved Indications – Dihydropyridines

Indication	Amlodipine	Consensi (amlodipine/ Celecoxib)	Felodipine	Isradipine	Nicardipine	Nifedipine	Nimodipine	Nisoldipine
Angina Pectoris								
Treatment of chronic stable angina	<b>*</b>		-	-	<b>✓</b> †	-	-	-

Data as of November 15, 2019 AG-U/KS-U/DKB

Page 2 of 18

<sup>†</sup>Matzim LA is the branded generic of Cardizem LA.

<sup>‡</sup>Dilacor XR is no longer manufactured, but included in this review because its branded generic, DILT-XR, is still on the market.

<sup>§</sup>Taztia XT and Diltzac are branded generics of Tiazac.



Indication		Consensi (amlodipine/ Celecoxib)	Felodipine	Isradipine	Nicardipine	Nifedipine	Nimodipine	Nisoldipine
Treatment of chronic stable angina without evidence of vasospasm in patients who remain symptomatic despite adequate doses of beta blockers and/or organic nitrates or who cannot tolerate those agents	-		1	-	1	✓ (capsule , ER tablet [Procardia XL])	-	-
Treatment of vasospastic angina	<b>*</b> ‡		ı	ı	1	✓ (capsule , ER tablet [Procardia XL])§	-	-
CAD								
Reduce the risk of hospitalization due to angina and to reduce the risk of a coronary revascularization procedure in patients with recently documented CAD by angiography and without heart failure or an ejection fraction < 40%			-	-	-	-	-	-
Hypertension								
Treatment of hypertension	<b>✓</b>	~	<b>&gt;</b> =	<b>✓</b> ¶	<b>&gt;</b> =	✓ (ER tablet)	-	=
Treatment of hypertension to lower blood pressure which reduces the risk of fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular events, primarily strokes and myocardial infarctions			<b>&gt;</b> =	-	,	(ER tablet [Procardia XL])	-	-
Miscellaneous								
Improvement of neurological outcome by reducing the incidence and severity of ischemic deficits in subarachnoid hemorrhage from ruptured intracranial berry aneurysms regardless of their post-ictus neurological condition (ie, Hunt and Hess Grades I-V)			-	-	-	-	•	-
Management of the signs and symptoms of osteoarthritis  Alone or in combination with other antianginal agents		~						

<sup>\*</sup>Alone or in combination with other antianginal agents.

(Prescribing information: Adalat CC 2016, Consensi 2019, felodipine ER 2018, isradipine 2017, Katerzia 2019, nicardipine capsule 2017, nimodipine 2017, nisoldipine extended-release tablet 2017, Norvasc 2019, Nymalize 2018, Procardia 2016, Procardia XL 2016, Sular 2017)

Table 3. Food and Drug Administration Approved Indications – Non-Dihydropyridines

Indication	Diltiazem	Verapamil
Angina Pectoris		
Angina due to coronary artery spasm or vasospastic angina	✓ (tablet [Cardizem], extended-release capsule [Cardizem CD])	<b>∽</b> (Calan)
Chronic stable angina	<b>✓</b>	✓ (Calan)
Unstable angina	-	✓ (Calan)
Arrhythmias		

<sup>†</sup>Alone or in combination with beta blockers.

<sup>‡</sup>Confirmed or suspected vasospastic angina. May be used alone or in combination with other antianginal agents.

<sup>§</sup>Vasospastic angina confirmed by any of the following criteria: 1) classical pattern of angina at rest accompanied by ST segment elevation, 2) angina or coronary artery spasm provoked by ergonovine, or 3) angiographically demonstrated coronary artery spasm.

Alone or in combination with other antihypertensive agents.

<sup>¶</sup>Alone or in combination with thiazide-type diuretics.



Indication	Diltiazem	Verapamil
Control of ventricular rate at rest and during stress in patients with chronic atrial flutter and/or atrial fibrillation in association with digitalis	-	✓ (Calan)
Prophylaxis of repetitive paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia	-	✓ (Calan)
Hypertension		
Hypertension	✓ *(with the exception of Cardizem)	-
Hypertension to lower blood pressure which reduces the risk of fatal and nonfatal cardiovascular events, primarily strokes and myocardial infarctions.	✓ *(Cardizem LA)	<b>&gt;</b>

<sup>\*</sup>May be used alone or in combination with other antihypertensive agents.

(Prescribing Information: Calan 2017, Calan SR <mark>2019</mark>, Cardizem 2016, Cardizem CD 2017, Cardizem LA <mark>2019</mark>, DILT-XR <mark>2012</mark>, Tiazac 2016, Verelan <mark>2019</mark>, Verelan PM <mark>2019</mark>)

• Information on indications, mechanism of action, pharmacokinetics, dosing, and safety has been obtained from the prescribing information for the individual products, except where noted otherwise.

#### **CLINICAL EFFICACY SUMMARY**

# **Dihydropyridines**

- Clinical trials have demonstrated the efficacy of these agents for their respective indications.
- Amlodipine oral suspension has a pharmacokinetic profile comparable to the tablet formulations, and received FDA
  approval based on these pharmacokinetic parameters and the efficacy of amlodipine tablet (*Katerzia prescribing*information 2019).
- In a crossover study for the treatment of angina, amlodipine and felodipine have been shown to be more effective than placebo, though no significant difference between the 2 active treatment groups was observed (*Koenig 1997*).
- Numerous clinical trials have shown that the dihydropyridines can effectively lower systolic and diastolic blood pressure when administered alone or in combination with other agents. In trials comparing combination therapy to monotherapy, the more aggressive treatment regimens lowered blood pressure to a greater extent than the less intensive treatment regimens. Some comparative trials have demonstrated slight differences in blood pressure effects among the various dihydropyridines; however, the clinical significance of these differences remains to be established (*Sheehy et al 2000*, *Mounier-Vehier et al 2002*, *Kes et al 2003*, *Ryuzaki et al 2007*, *Saito et al 2007*, *Pepine et al 2003*, *Whitcomb et al 2000*, *White et al 2003b*, *Lenz et al 2001*, *Drummond et al 2007*, *Mazza et al 2002*, *Hollenberg et al 2003*, *White et al 2003a*, *Jordan et al 2007*, *Messerli et al 2004*, *Neutel et al 2005*, *Chrysant et al 2007*, *Chrysant et al 2004*, *Minami et al 2007*, *Jamerson et al 2007*, *Malacco et al 2004*, *Neutel et al 2007*, *Tatti et al 1998*, *Miranda et al 2008*, *Fogari et al 2007*, *Ribeiro et al 2007*, *Chrysant et al 2008*, *Chrysant et al 2009*, *Oparil et al 2009*, *Braun et al 2009*, *Littlejohn et al 2009a*, *Littlejohn et al 2009b*, *Sharma et al 2001*, *Neutel et al 2012*, *Maciejewski et al 2006*, *Ichihara et al 2006*, *Karpov et al 2012*, *Philipp et al 2007*, *Philipp et al 2011*, *Schunkert et al 2009*, *Ke et al 2010*, *Destro et al 2008*, *Flack et al 2009*, *Schrader et al 2009*, *Sinkiewicz et al 2009*, *Fogari et al 2009*, *Poldermans et al 2007*, *Calhoun et al 2009a*, *Calhoun et al 2008*, *Wiysonge et al 2007*, *Baguet et al 2007*).
  - o In-class comparisons for the treatment of hypertension have found better compliance and a higher response rate with amlodipine compared to felodipine, though van der Krogt and colleagues found similar decreases in overall systolic and diastolic blood pressures between groups (*Sheehy et al 2000, Van der Krogt et al 1996*).
  - The most clinical trial experience has been with amlodipine and nifedipine, which have been shown to have beneficial effects on cardiovascular and stroke outcomes in hypertension trials (*Rahman et al 2012, Black et al 2008, ALLHAT 2002, Julius et al 2004, Zanchetti et al 2006, Nissen et al 2004, Ogihara et al 2008, Jamerson et al 2008, Weber et al 2010, Weber et al 2013, Brown et al 2000*).
- The dihydropyridines have been shown to have favorable effects on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, and several studies have demonstrated comparable efficacy with beta blockers, diuretics, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) in select diseases (*Pitt et al 2000, Dahlöf et al 2005, Chapman et al 2007, Nissen et al 2004, ALLHAT 2002, Black et al 2008, Rahman et al 2012, Ogihara et al 2008, Julius et al 2004, Zanchetti et al 2006, Jamerson et al 2008, Bakris et al 2010, Weber et al 2010, Weber et al 2013, Hansson et al 1999, National Intervention Cooperative Study 1999, Brown et al 2000, Estacio et al 1998).*

Data as of November 15, 2019 AG-U/KS-U/DKB

Page 4 of 18



- In the ALLHAT study, ACE inhibitors had a 51% higher rate (relative risk [RR], 1.51; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.22 to 1.86) of stroke in patients of African or Caribbean descent (Black) when used as initial therapy compared to calcium channel blockers. ACE inhibitors were also less effective in reducing blood pressure in Black patients compared to a calcium channel blocker (Rahman et al 2012, Black et al 2008, ALLHAT 2002).
- An unpublished phase III randomized controlled trial compared amlodipine/celecoxib (Consensi) with its individual components and matching placebo in 152 patients with hypertension (*Smith et al, 2018*). After 2 weeks of treatment, the primary endpoint of change in mean daytime ambulatory systolic blood pressure was noninferior with amlodipine/celecoxib vs amlodipine (-10.6 vs -8.8 mmHg; p < 0.001), and the secondary endpoint of mean 24-hour diastolic blood pressure was superior with amlodipine/celecoxib vs amlodipine (-7.1 vs -4.8 mmHg; p = 0.38).
- A Cochrane review determined that calcium channel blockers do not have a role in the management of patients with acute ischemic stroke (*Zhang et al 2019*).

## Non-dihydropyridines

- The non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are indicated to treat hypertension and angina, in addition to slowing ventricular rate in patients with atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter. Clinical trials demonstrate the efficacy of these agents for their respective indications.
- For the treatment of angina, diltiazem and verapamil have been shown to be effective in improving exercise tolerance and reducing heart rate, angina frequency and nitroglycerin use (De Rosa et al 1998, Chugh et al 2001, van Kesteren et al 1998, Frishman et al 1999).
  - A direct comparison between diltiazem and verapamil found no significant differences between the agents in exercise tolerance; however, resting heart rate, angina frequency and nitroglycerin use were all significantly lower in the diltiazem group (De Rosa et al 1998).
- Both diltiazem and verapamil have shown efficacy in the treatment of hypertension, but comparisons with other classes
  of medications have not consistently demonstrated "superiority" of either agent (Wright et al 2004, Rosei et al 1997).
  - Wright and colleagues compared diltiazem and amlodipine in African American patients with hypertension and demonstrated significantly greater reductions in diastolic blood pressure during the first 4 hours after awakening in addition to greater reductions in heart rate with diltiazem; however, mean 24-hour systolic blood pressure reductions were significantly greater with amlodipine (Wright et al 2004).
- Studies evaluating the efficacy of the non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers for various cardiovascular outcomes generally demonstrated no significant difference between verapamil or diltiazem compared to other agents including beta blockers and diuretics (Hansson et al 2000, Pepine et al 2003, Mancia et al 2007, Bangalore et al 2008, Black et al 2003).

## **CLINICAL GUIDELINES**

- There are several national and international evidence-based antihypertensive guidelines that provide recommendations
  regarding the use of calcium channel blocking agents. Most recommend that the selection of an antihypertensive agent
  be based on compelling indications for use:
  - Most guidelines recommend a thiazide-type diuretic, an ACE inhibitor, an ARB, or a calcium channel blocker as first-line therapy (Go et al 2014, James et al 2014, Williams et al 2018, Weber et al 2014, Carey et al 2018). The 2018 European Society of Cardiology/European Society of Hypertension (ESC/ESH) guideline generally recommends that combination therapy include an ACE inhibitor or ARB with a calcium channel blocker and/or a thiazide-type diuretic (Williams et al 2018).
  - o In Black hypertensive patients, thiazide-type diuretics or calcium channel blockers are recommended specifically as first-line therapy (James et al 2014, Williams et al 2018, Weber et al 2014).
  - In patients with chronic kidney disease, calcium channel blockers are generally recommended after ACE inhibitors or ARBs (*KDIGO 2012*, *Go et al 2014*, *Williams et al 2018*, *Weber et al 2014*).
  - o Consensus guidelines recommend calcium channel blockers as an option in pregnant patients with severe hypertension to prevent stroke; nifedipine is one of the only dihydropyridines tested in these patients (*Bushnell et al 2014. Williams et al 2018*).
  - A long-acting dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker may be added to a basic hypertensive regimen, particularly
    after a beta blocker and ACE inhibitor, in hypertensive patients with CAD and stable angina (Rosendorff et al 2015).



- A non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker may be prescribed for hypertensive patients with CAD who have an
  intolerance or contraindication to a beta blocker; however, a combination of a beta blocker and a non-dihydropyridine
  calcium channel blocker may increase the risk of bradyarrhythmias and heart failure (Rosendorff et al 2015).
- Non-dihydropyridine calcium-channel blocking agents are not recommended for the routine treatment of heart failure because of their negative inotropic action and risk of worsening heart failure (Yancy et al 2016, Yancy et al 2017).
- The 2018 ESC/ESH guidelines recommend calcium channel blockers, ACE inhibitors, and ARBs over beta-blockers or diuretics in patients with left ventricular (LV) hypertrophy (Williams et al 2018). However, in general, calcium channel blocking agents are not recommended for the routine treatment of heart failure (Ponikowski et al 2016, Yancy et al 2013, Yancy et al 2016, Yancy et al 2017), although, some guidelines agree that some dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers may be used in certain co-morbid conditions if the patient has preserved LV function (Ponikowski et al 2016).
- o In November 2017, the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/AHA released the 2017 Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults. For initial first-line therapy for stage 1 hypertension, they list thiazide diuretics, calcium channel blockers, and ACE inhibitors or ARBs. In African American adults with hypertension but without heart failure or CKD, including those with diabetes, initial antihypertensive treatment should include a thiazide-type diuretic or calcium channel blocker. Two or more antihypertensive medications are recommended to achieve a BP target of < 130/80 mm Hg in most adults, especially in African American adults, with hypertension (Whelton et al 2017).</p>
- o In August 2017, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) published practice guidelines for screening and management of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. In hypertensive children and adolescents who have failed lifestyle modifications (particularly those who have LV hypertrophy on echocardiography, symptomatic hypertension, or stage 2 hypertension without a clearly modifiable factor [eg, obesity]), the guidelines recommend initiating pharmacologic treatment with an ACE inhibitor, ARB, long-acting calcium channel blocker, or thiazide diuretic (Flynn et al 2017).
- For the treatment of chronic angina, beta blockers are recommended as initial therapy; however, long-acting calcium channel blocking agents may be used if beta blockers are contraindicated or if additional therapy is required (*Fihn et al 2012, Fihn et al 2014, Knuuti et al 2019, O'Gara et al 2013, Montalescot et al 2013)*. Beta blockers and calcium channel blockers have similar clinical outcomes, but beta blockers may have fewer adverse events in patients with stable angina. Long-acting calcium channel blockers may be used in combination with beta blockers when beta blocker monotherapy is unsuccessful (*Montalescot et al 2013, Amsterdam et al 2014*). Other guidelines recommend long-acting calcium channel blockers and nitrates as a treatment option for coronary artery spasm. For vasospastic (Prinzmetal) angina, guidelines recommend calcium channel blockers alone or in combination with nitrates (*Amsterdam et al 2014*).
- For the treatment of aneurysmal SAH, oral nimodipine is recommended to reduce poor outcome related to SAH (Connolly et al 2012, Diringer et al 2011).
- For patients with ventricular tachycardias, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers have a limited role and administration of these agents can lead to further cardiovascular decompensation (*Al-Khatib et al 2017*). Verapamil is effective in treating idiopathic interfascicular reentrant left ventricular tachycardia.

## **SAFETY SUMMARY**

## Dihydropyridine

- All of the dihydropyridine calcium channel blocking agents are contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to any component of the medication. Nicardipine is contraindicated in patients with advanced aortic stenosis. The Adalat CC formulation of nifedipine is contraindicated in patients with cardiogenic shock and in patients who are concomitantly using strong CYP450 inducers such as rifampin. Nimodipine capsule is contraindicated for concomitant administration with strong CYP3A4 inhibitors such as some macrolide antibiotics, some anti-HIV protease inhibitors, some azole antimycotics and some antidepressants because of risk of significant hypotension.
- Intravenous administration of the contents of nimodipine capsules has resulted in serious adverse consequences including death, cardiac arrest, cardiovascular collapse, hypotension and bradycardia. As such, nimodipine capsules have a boxed warning against the use of nimodipine capsules for intravenous administration.
- Hypotension may occur occasionally during the initial titration or with dosage increases, and hence, blood pressure should be monitored during initial administration and titration. Dihydropyridines, specifically felodipine and nisoldipine, should be used cautiously in patients with congestive heart failure.



- Dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers can produce negative inotropic effects and exacerbate heart failure and as a result, patients with heart failure should be monitored carefully.
- Caution should be exercised when using dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers in patients with impaired hepatic function or reduced hepatic blood flow because these agents are extensively metabolized by the liver.
- In general, monitoring should be performed for blood pressure (with initiation and titration), heart rate and anginal pain. Patients should also be monitored for signs and symptoms of edema.
- Consensi (amlodipine/celecoxib) carries a boxed warning for the risk of serious cardiovascular and gastrointestinal (GI) events. Consensi is contraindicated in the setting of coronary artery bypass surgery. The celecoxib component is associated with serious GI adverse events, such as bleeding, ulceration, and perforation of the stomach or intestines, which can be fatal.

# Non-dihydropyridine

- Diltiazem is contraindicated in patients with i) acute myocardial infarction and pulmonary congestion documented by X-ray on admission, ii) hypersensitivity to the drug, iii) hypotension (< 90 mm Hg systolic), iv) second or third degree AV block except in the presence of a functioning ventricular pacemaker, and v) sick sinus syndrome except in the presence of a functioning ventricular pacemaker. Verapamil is contraindicated in patients with i) atrial fibrillation or flutter and an accessory bypass tract (Wolff-Parkinson-White, Lown-Ganong-Levine syndromes), ii) hypersensitivity to the drug, iii) hypotension (< 90 mm Hg systolic), iv) second or third degree AV block except in the presence of a functioning ventricular pacemaker, v) severe left ventricular dysfunction, and vi) sick sinus syndrome except in the presence of a functioning ventricular pacemaker.
- The precautions for diltiazem include the following: may have an additive effect on heart rate with concomitant use of beta blockers or digitalis; dermatologic reactions leading to erythema multiforme and/or exfoliative dermatitis have been reported; increased risk of toxicity with hepatic and/or renal impairment; hypotension; impaired ventricular function and worsening congestive heart failure have also been reported. The precautions for verapamil include the following: concomitant use of a beta blocker in patients with any degree of ventricular dysfunction and concomitant use of quinidine in patients with hypotrophic cardiomyopathy should be avoided; congestive heart failure may occur; elevated liver enzymes, particularly serum transaminase levels, have been reported; first-degree AV block, marked, or progression to second- or third-degree block may occur; hepatic function impairment may occur; sinus bradycardia, pulmonary edema, severe hypotension, second-degree AV block, sinus arrest, and death have been reported in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; hypotension and/or dizziness may occur; pulmonary edema may occur.
- In general, patients taking non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocking agents should have their blood pressure monitored weekly during the initial period of titration. Heart rate and anginal pain should also be monitored. Patients should have their liver function monitored periodically. Electrocardiogram (ECG) should be monitored for PR interval prolongation in patients with impaired renal or hepatic function using verapamil. If the medication is being used for arrhythmia, then ECG and reduction in signs and symptoms should be monitored.
- The common adverse effects of diltiazem include bradyarrhythmia, cough, dizziness, fatigue, headache and peripheral edema. The common adverse effects of verapamil include constipation, dizziness, edema, headache, hypotension, influenza-like symptoms, pharyngitis, and sinusitis.

(Facts and Comparisons 2019, Micromedex 2.0 2019)

## DOSING AND ADMINISTRATION

Table 4. Dosing and Administration - Dihydropyridine

Drug	Available Formulations	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
Amlodipine	Oral tablets	Angina pectoris (chronic stable and vasospastic):	Doses in excess of 5 mg daily have not been studied
	Oral suspension	Tablet, suspension: maintenance, 5 to 10 mg once daily; maximum, 10	in pediatric patients.
		mg once daily	In general, wait 7 to 14 days between titration steps.
		CAD:	Titrate more rapidly, however, if clinically

Data as of November 15, 2019 AG-U/KS-U/DKB

Page 7 of 18



Drug	Available Formulations	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
		Tablet, suspension: maintenance, 5 to 10 mg once daily; maximum, 10 mg once daily  Hypertension: Tablet, suspension: initial, 5 mg once daily; maintenance, 5 to 10 mg once daily; maximum, 10 mg once daily  Hypertension in children 6 to 17 years of age: Tablet, suspension: initial, 2.5 mg once daily; maintenance, 2.5 to 5 mg once daily; maximum, 5 mg once daily	warranted, provided the patient is assessed frequently.
Consensi (amlodipine/celecoxib)	Oral tablets	Hypertension and osteoarthritis: Initial, 5 mg/200 mg once daily (or 2.5 mg/200 mg in small, elderly, or frail patients or those with hepatic impairment); titrate to 5 mg/200 mg or 10 mg/200 mg once daily as needed.	The lowest effective dose of celecoxib for the shortest duration should be used  Consensi may be substituted for its individual components
Felodipine	Oral extended-release tablets	Hypertension: Extended-release tablet: initial, 5 mg once daily; maintenance, 2.5 to 10 mg once daily	Dose adjustments should occur generally at intervals of not less than 2 weeks.  Should be swallowed whole and not crushed or chewed; take without food or with a light meal
Isradipine	Oral capsules	Hypertension: Capsule: initial, 2.5 mg twice daily; maximum, 20 mg/day	Dose adjustments should occur in increments of 5 mg/day at 2 to 4 week intervals.
Nicardipine	Oral capsules	Angina pectoris (chronic stable): Capsule: initial, 20 mg 3 times daily; maintenance, 20 to 40 mg 3 times daily  Hypertension: Capsule: initial, 20 mg 3 times daily; maintenance, 20 to 40 mg 3 times daily	Allow at least 3 days before increasing the dose to ensure achievement of steady state plasma drug concentrations (capsule formulation).
Nifedipine	Immediate-release capsules  Extended-release tablets	Angina pectoris (chronic stable): Capsule: initial, 10 mg 3 times daily; maintenance, 10 to 20 mg 3 times daily; maximum, 180 mg/day	Titration should proceed over a 7- to 14-day period.  Extended-release tablets should be swallowed whole, not bitten or divided and should be taken on an



Drug	Available Formulations	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
Nimodipine	Oral capsules	Extended-release tablet: initial, 30 or 60 mg once daily; maximum, 90 mg/day  Angina pectoris (vasospastic): Capsule: initial, 10 mg 3 times daily; maintenance, 20 to 30 mg 3 to 4 times daily; maximum, 180 mg/day  Extended-release tablet: initial, 30 or 60 mg once daily; maximum, 90 mg/day  Hypertension: Extended-release tablet: initial, 30 or 60 mg once daily; maintenance, 30 to 90 mg once daily; maximum, 120 mg/day  Subarachnoid hemorrhage:	empty stomach; co- administration with grapefruit juice should be avoided.
	Oral solution	Capsule: 60 mg every 4 hours for 21 consecutive days  Oral solution: 20 mL (60 mg) every 4 hours for 21 consecutive days	within 96 hours of subarachnoid hemorrhage.  Capsules should be swallowed whole with a little liquid and oral solution should only be administered enterally, preferably not less than 1 hour before or 2 hours after meals; grapefruit juice should be avoided; capsules should not be administered intravenously or by other parenteral routes.
Nisoldipine	Extended-release tablets	Hypertension: Extended-release tablet: initial, 20 mg once daily; maintenance, 20 to 40 mg/day; maximum, 60 mg/day  Extended-release tablet (Sular and its generics): initial, 17 mg once daily; maintenance, 17 to 34 mg once daily; maximum, 34 mg once daily	Dose adjustments should occur at intervals of not less than 1 week.  Extended-release tablets should be swallowed whole, not bitten, divided or crushed; should be taken on an empty stomach (1 hour before or 2 hours after a meal); grapefruit products should be avoided; administration with a high fat meal can lead to excessive



Drug	Available Formulations	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
			peak drug concentration and should be avoided.

See the current prescribing information for full details

Table 5 Design and Administration Non-dihydropyridine

Table 5. Dosing	able 5. Dosing and Administration – Non-dihydropyridine						
Drug	Available Formulations	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments				
Diltiazem	Extended-release capsules	Angina pectoris (chronic stable):	Tablet formulation should be				
		Extended-release capsule: initial,	taken before meals and at				
	Extended-release tablets	120 or 180 mg once daily;	bedtime. Tiazac (extended-				
		maintenance, 180 to 540 mg once	release) capsule formulation				
	Tablets	daily; maximum, 540 mg once daily	may also be administered by				
		Extended-release tablet: initial, 180 mg once daily; maximum, 360 mg once daily	opening the capsule and sprinkling the capsule contents on a spoonful of applesauce; the applesauce should be swallowed immediately without				
		Tablet: initial, 30 mg 4 times daily; maintenance, 180 to 360 mg/day (divided in 3 to 4 doses)	chewing and followed with a glass of cool water to ensure complete swallowing of the capsule contents. Cardizem LA				
		Angina pectoris (due to coronary artery spasm): Extended-release capsule (Cardizem CD): initial, 120 or 180 mg once daily; maintenance, adjust dosage to each patient's needs up to 480 mg once daily	(extended-release) tablets should be swallowed whole and not chewed or crushed.				
		Tablet: initial, 30 mg 4 times daily; maintenance, 180 to 360 mg/day (divided in 3 to 4 doses)					
		Hypertension: Extended-release capsule: initial, 120 to 240 mg once daily; maintenance, 120 to 540 mg once daily; maximum, 540 mg once daily					
		Extended-release tablet: initial, 180 to 240 mg once daily, although some patients may respond to lower doses; maximum, 540 mg once daily					
Verapamil	Extended-release capsules	Angina pectoris (chronic stable, unstable, and vasospastic):	Calan 80 mg tablets are scored and can be divided into halves				
	Extended-release tablets	Tablet: maintenance, 80 to 120 mg 3 times daily	to provide a 40 mg dose. Calan SR should be administered with				
	Sustained-release	-	food and if needed the caplets				
	capsules	Arrhythmias: Tablet: maintenance, 240 to 320	can be divided in half without compromising the sustained-				
	Tablets	mg/day, divided in 3 to 4 doses; maximum, 480 mg/day	release properties of the drug.				



Drug	Available Formulations	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
Drug	Available Formulations	Hypertension: Sustained-release capsule: initial, 120 to 240 mg once daily; maintenance, 180 mg to 480 mg/day; maximum, 480 mg/day  Extended-release capsule: initial, 100 mg to 200 mg once daily at bedtime; maintenance, 200 mg to 400 mg once daily; maximum, 400 mg/day  Extended-release tablet: initial, 120 to 180 mg in the morning; maintenance, 180 to 480 mg/day in 1 to 2 divided doses, maximum, 480	Comments  Verelan and Verelan PM capsules should not be crushed or chewed and they may be administered by opening the capsule and sprinkling the capsule contents on a spoonful of applesauce; the applesauce should be swallowed immediately without chewing and followed with a glass of cool water to ensure complete swallowing of the capsule contents.
		mg/day  Tablet: initial, 80 mg 3 times daily; maintenance, 360 to 480 mg/day divided (3 to 4 times daily); maximum, 480 mg/day	

See the current prescribing information for full details

## CONCLUSION

- All of the dihydropyridines, with the exception of nimodipine, are approved for the treatment of hypertension. Amlodipine, nicardipine, and nifedipine are also indicated for the treatment of angina. Additionally, amlodipine reduces the risk of hospitalization due to angina and reduces the risk of coronary revascularization procedures in patients with recently documented CAD. Consensi, a combination of amlodipine and celecoxib, was recently FDA-approved for the treatment of patients with hypertension and osteoarthritis. Nimodipine improves the neurological outcome of patients with an SAH by reducing the incidence and severity of ischemic deficits in patients with ruptured intracranial berry aneurysms regardless of their post-ictus neurological condition (ie, Hunt and Hess Grades I-V).
- Numerous clinical trials have shown that the dihydropyridines can effectively lower systolic and diastolic blood pressure
  when administered alone or in combination with other agents. In trials comparing combination therapy to monotherapy,
  the more aggressive treatment regimens lowered blood pressure to a greater extent than the less intensive treatment
  regimens. Some comparative trials have demonstrated slight differences in blood pressure effects among the various
  dihydropyridines; however, the clinical significance of these differences remains to be established.
- The dihydropyridines have been shown to favorably affect cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, and several studies have demonstrated comparable efficacy with beta blockers, diuretics, ACE inhibitors, and ARBs in select diseases. However, the ALLHAT study demonstrated that patients of African or Caribbean descent (Black) had a lower rate of stroke when therapy was initiated with a calcium channel blocker compared to an ACE inhibitor.
- There is insufficient evidence to support that one dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker is safer or more efficacious than another, although most clinical trial experience has been with amlodipine and nifedipine.
- The non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocking agents are approved for the treatment of angina, arrhythmias, and hypertension. Diltiazem and verapamil are available in a variety of modified-release delivery systems that alter their pharmacokinetic properties, including onset and duration of action.
- Clinical trials demonstrate that diltiazem and verapamil can effectively treat angina and improve blood pressure. Both agents have been shown to reduce mortality and cardiovascular event rates compared to placebo. Evidence suggests that there is no overall difference between diltiazem and verapamil compared to other antihypertensive agents (beta blockers, diuretics) in reducing cardiovascular events and mortality in patients with hypertension. There is insufficient



evidence to support that one non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocking agent is safer or more efficacious than another.

- For the treatment of chronic angina, beta blockers are recommended as initial therapy; however, long-acting calcium-channel blocking agents may be used if beta blockers are contraindicated or if additional therapy is required. Beta blockers and calcium channel blockers have similar clinical outcomes, but beta blockers may have fewer adverse events in patients with stable angina. Long-acting calcium channel blockers may be used in combination with beta blockers when beta blocker monotherapy is unsuccessful. Long-acting calcium-channel blocking agents are also recommended in patients with variant angina and for patients with coronary artery spasm(s), known as vasospastic angina, with or without nitrates.
- Treatment options for atrial fibrillation include ventricular rate control or drug therapy to maintain sinus rhythm. The AFFIRM, RACE and HOT CAFE trials demonstrated similar outcomes with rate control compared to rhythm control strategies. Beta blockers or non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are recommended for patients with persistent, paroxysmal, or permanent atrial fibrillation; however, in patients with decompensated heart failure or pre-excitation and atrial fibrillation, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers should not be administered. Propafenone or flecainide ("pill-in-the-pocket") in combination with a beta blocker or non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker are options to terminate atrial fibrillation outside of a hospital for select patients. Non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers may also be prescribed as monotherapy or in combination with other treatment in patients with atrial fibrillation and co-morbid hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, certain acute coronary syndrome patients, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In cases of ventricular and supraventricular arrhythmias, intravenous non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are recommended. Oral non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers may be used for the chronic management of patients with symptomatic supraventricular tachycardia without ventricular excitation.
- Caution is advised with use in elderly patients with systolic heart failure; non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers have the potential to promote fluid retention and/or exacerbate heart failure.

## **REFERENCES**

## Dihydropyridine

- ADALAT CC prescribing information. Almatica Pharma Inc.; Pine Brook, NJ. May 2016.
- ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent
  Heart Attack Trial. Major outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium-channel
  blocker vs diuretic: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). JAMA. 2002 Dec 18;288(23):2981-97.
- Amsterdam E, Wenger N, Brindis R, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64(24):e139-228.
- Baguet JP, Legallicier B, Auquier P, et al. Updated meta-analytical approach to the efficacy of antihypertensive drugs in reducing blood pressure. Clin Drug Investig. 2007;27(11):735-53.
- Bakris GL, Sarafidis PA, Weir MR, et al. ACCOMPLISH Trial investigators. Renal outcomes with different fixed-dose combination therapies in patients with hypertension at high risk for cardiovascular events (ACCOMPLISH): a prespecified secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2010 Apr 3;375(9721):1173-81.
- Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics 2019 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2019;139(10):e56-e528. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000559.
- Black HR, Davis B, Barzilay J, et al. Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial. Metabolic and clinical outcomes in nondiabetic individuals with the metabolic syndrome assigned to chlorthalidone, amlodipine, or lisinopril as initial treatment for hypertension: a report from the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). Diabetes Care. 2008 Feb;31(2):353-60.
- Braun N, Ulmer HJ, Handrock R, et al. Efficacy and safety of the single pill combination of amlodipine 10 mg plus valsartan 160 mg in hypertensive patients not controlled by amlodipine 10 mg plus olmesartan 20 mg in free combination (abstract). Curr Med Res Opin. 2009;25(2):421-30.
- Brown MJ, Palmer CR, Castaigne A, et al. Morbidity and mortality in patients randomized to double-blind treatment with a long-acting calcium-channel blocker or diuretic in the international nifedipine GTS study: Intervention as a Goal in Hypertension Treatment (INSIGHT). Lancet. 2000; 356:366-72.
- Bushnell C, McCullough LD, Awad IA, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in women: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014 May;45(5):1545-88.
- Calhoun D, Crikelair N, Yen J, et al. Amlodipine/valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide triple combination therapy in moderate/severe hypertension: secondary analyses evaluating efficacy and safety. Adv Ther. 2009a;26(11):1012-23.
- Calhoun DA, Lacourciere Y, Chiang YT, et al. Triple antihypertensive therapy with amlodipine, valsartan, and hydrochlorothiazide: a randomized controlled trial. Hypertension. 2009b;54:32-9.
- Carey RM, Calhoun DA, Bakris GL, et al. Resistant Hypertension: Detection, Evaluation, and Management: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Hypertension*. 2018;72(5):e53-e90. doi: 10.1161/HYP.0000000000000084.
- Chapman N, Dobson J, Wilson S, et al. Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial Investigators. Effect of spironolactone on blood pressure in subjects with resistant hypertension. Hypertension. 2007 Apr;49(4):839-45.
- Chrysant SG. Blood pressure effects of high-dose amlodipine-benazepril combination in black and white hypertensive patients not controlled on monotherapy. Drugs RD. 2012;12(2):57-64.

#### Data as of November 15, 2019 AG-U/KS-U/DKB



- Chrysant SG, Bakris GL. Amlodipine/benazepril combination therapy for hypertensive patients nonresponsive to benazepril monotherapy. Am J Hypertens. 2004 Jul;17(7):590-6.
- Chrysant SG, Melino M, Karki S, et al. The combination of olmesartan medoxomil and amlodipine besylate in controlling high blood pressure: COACH, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 8-week factorial efficacy and safety study. Clin Ther. 2008 Apr;30(4):587-604.
- Chrysant SG, Oparil S, Melino M, et al. Efficacy and safety of long-term treatment with the combination of amlodipine besylate and olmesartan medoxomil in patients with hypertension. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2009:11:475-82.
- Chrysant SG, Sugimoto DH, Lefkowitz M, et al. The effects of high-dose amlodipine/benazepril combination therapies on blood pressure reduction in
  patients not adequately controlled with amlodipine monotherapy. Blood Press Suppl. 2007 Mar;1:10-7.
- Connolly ES, Rabinstein AA, Carhuapoma R, et al. Guidelines for the management of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage; a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2012 Jun;43(6):1711-1737.
- Consensi prescribing information. Kitov Pharmaceuticals. Washington, DC. December 2019.
- Dahlöf B, Sever PS, Poulter NR, et al. Prevention of cardiovascular events with an antihypertensive regimen of amlodipine adding perindopril as required vs atenolol adding bendroflumethiazide as required, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial-Blood Pressure Lowering Arm (ASCOT-BPLA): a multicentre randomized controlled trial. Lancet. 2005 Sep 10-16;366(9489):895-906.
- Destro M, Luckow A, Samson M, et al. Efficacy and safety of amlodipine/valsartan compared to amlodipine monotherapy in patients with stage 2 hypertension: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter study: the EX-EFFeCTS study. J Am Society Hypertension. 2008;2:294-302.
- Diringer MN, Bleck TP, Menon D, et al. Critical care management of patients following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: recommendations from the Neurocritical Care Society's Multidisciplinary Consensus Conference. Neurocrit Care. 2011 Sep;15 (2):211-40.
- Dobesh PP. Stable ischemic heart disease. In: DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC, Matzke GR, Wells BG, Posey LM, editors. Pharmacotherapy: a pathophysiologic approach. 10th edition. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 2017.
- Drugs@FDA [database on the Internet]. Rockville, MD: Food and Drug Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2019. Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Drummond W, Munger M, Essop M, et al. Antihypertensive efficacy of the oral direct renin inhibitor aliskiren as add-on therapy in patients not responding to amlodipine monotherapy. J Clin Hypertens. 2007;9:742-50.
- Estacio RO, Schrier RW. Antihypertensive therapy in type 2 diabetes: implications of the appropriate blood pressure control in diabetes (ABCD) trial. Am J Cardiol. 1998;82(9B):9R-14R.
- Facts and Comparisons® eAnswers [database on the Internet]. St. Louis, MO: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.; 2019. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Felodipine ER prescribing information. Yiling Pharmaceutical, Inc.; Las Vegas, NV. July 2018.
- Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Circulation. 2012;126:e354–e471.
- Fihn SD, Blankenship J, Alexander K, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS focused update of the guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014 Nov 4;64(18):1929-49.
- Flack JM, Calhoun DA, Satlin L, et al. Efficacy and safety of initial combination therapy with amlodipine/valsartan compared to amlodipine monotherapy in black patients with stage 2 hypertension: the EX-STAND study. J Hum Hypertens. 2009;23:479-89.
- Flynn JT, Kaelber DC, Baker-Smith CM, et al. Clinical practice guideline for screening and management of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2017;140(3). pii: e20171904. doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-1904. Epub 2017 Aug 21. Erratum in: Pediatrics. 2017 Nov 30.
- Fogari R, Mugellini A, Derosa G; CANDIA (CANdesartan and Dluretic vs Amlodipine in hypertensive patients) Study Group. Efficacy and tolerability of candesartan cilexetil/hydrochlorothiazide and amlodipine in patients with poorly controlled mild-to-moderate essential hypertension. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst. 2007 Sep;8(3):139-44.
- Fogari R, Zoppi A, Mugellini A, et al. Efficacy and safety of two treatment combinations of hypertension in very elderly patients. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics. 2009;48:401-5.
- Gustin G, White WB, Taylor S, et al. Clinical outcome of a mandatory formulary switch for dihydropyridine calcium-channel blocker therapy at a Veteran's Administration Medical Center. Am J Hypertens. 1996;9(4 Pt 1):312-6.
- Hansson L, Lindholm LH, Ekbom T, et al. Randomized trial of old and new antihypertensive drugs in elderly patients: cardiovascular mortality and morbidity the Swedish Trial in Old Patients with Hypertension-2 study. Lancet. 1999 Nov 20;354(9192):1751-6.
- Hollenberg HK, Williams GH, Anderson R, et al. Symptoms and the distress they cause: comparison of an aldosterone antagonist and a calcium-channel blocking agent in patients with systolic hypertension. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163(13):1543-8.
- Ichihara A, Kaneshiro Y, Takemitsu T, et al. Effects of amlodipine and valsartan on vascular damage and ambulatory blood pressure in untreated hypertensive patients. J Hum Hypertens. 2006 Oct;20(10):787-94.
- Isradipine prescribing information. Actavis Pharma, Inc. Parsippany, NJ. June 2017.
- Jamerson K, Bakris GL, Dahlöf B, et al; for the ACCOMPLISH Investigators. Exceptional early blood pressure control rates: the ACCOMPLISH trial. Blood Press. 2007;16(2):80-6.
- Jamerson KA, Nwose O, Jean-Louis L, et al. Initial angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/calcium-channel blocker combination therapy achieves superior blood pressure control compared to calcium-channel blocker monotherapy in patients with stage 2 hypertension. Am J Hypertens. 2004 Jun;17(6):495-501.
- Jamerson K, Weber MA, Bakris GL, et al. Benazepril plus amlodipine or hydrochlorothiazide for hypertension in high risk patients. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:2417-28.
- Jordan J, Engeli S, Boye SW, et al. Direct renin inhibition with aliskiren in obese patients with arterial hypertension. Hypertension. 2007 May;49:1047-55.



- Julius S, Kjeldsen SE, Weber M, et al; VALUE trial group. Outcomes in hypertensive patients at high cardiovascular risk treated with regimens based on valsartan or amlodipine: the VALUE randomised trial. Lancet. 2004 Jun 19;363(9426):2022-31.
- Kannam JP, Gersh BJ. Calcium-channel blockers in the management of stable angina pectoris. UpToDate Web site. Available from: http://www.uptodate.com/contents/calcium-channel-blockers-in-the-management-of-stable-angina-pectoris. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Karotsis AK, Symeonidis A, Mastorantonakis SE, et al. Additional antihypertensive effect of drugs in hypertensive subjects uncontrolled on diltiazem monotherapy: a randomized controlled trial using office and home blood pressure monitoring. Clin Exp Hypertens. 2006;28(7):655-62.
- Karpov Y, Dongre N, Vigdorchik A, et al. Amlodipine/valsartan single-pill combination: a prospective, observational evaluation of the real-life safety and effectiveness in the routine treatment of hypertension. Adv Ther. 2012;29(2):134-147.
- KATERZIA prescribing information. Silvergate Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Greenwood Village, CO. July 2019.
- Ke Y, Zhu D, Hong H, et al. Efficacy and safety of a single-pill combination of amlodipine/valsartan in Asian hypertensive patients inadequately controlled with amlodipine monotherapy. Curr Med Res Opin. 2010;26:1705-13.
- Kereiakes DJ, Neutel JM, Punzi HA, et al. Efficacy and safety of olmesartan medoxomil and hydrochlorothiazide compared to benazepril and amlodipine besylate. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs. 2007;7(5):361-72.
- Kes S, Caglar N, Canberk A, et al. Treatment of mild-to-moderate hypertension with calcium-channel blockers: a multicentre comparison of once-daily nifedipine GITS with once-daily amlodipine. Curr Med Res Opin. 2003; 19(3):226-37.
- Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) clinical practice guideline for the management of blood pressure in chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int Suppl. 2012 Dec;2(5):337-414.
- Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes: The Task Force for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2019; pii: ehz425. [Epub ahead of print] doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz425.
- Koenig W, Hoher M. Felodipine and amlodipine in stable angina pectoris: results of a randomized double-blind crossover trial. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. 1997;29(4):520-4.
- Lenz TL, Wurdeman RL, Hilleman DE. Comparison of 24-hour blood pressure profiles in patients with hypertension who were switched from amlodipine to nisoldipine. Pharmacotherapy. 2001;21(8):898-903.
- Lindholm LH, Carlberg B, Samuelsson O. Should beta blockers remain first choice in the treatment of primary hypertension? A meta-analysis. Lancet. 2005 Oct 29-Nov 4;366(9496):1545-53.
- Littlejohn TW 3rd, Majul CR, Olvera R, et al. Telmisartan plus amlodipine in patients with moderate or severe hypertension: results from a subgroup analysis of a randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, 4x4 factorial study. Postgrad Med. 2009a Mar;121(2):5-14.
- Littlejohn TW 3rd, Majul CR, Olvera R, et al. Results of treatment with telmisartan-amlodipine in hypertensive patients. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2009b Apr;11(4):207-13.
- Maciejewski S, Mohiuddin SM, Packard KA, et al. Randomized, double-blind, crossover comparison of amlodipine and valsartan in African-Americans with hypertension using 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. Pharmacotherapy. 2006 Jul;26(7):889-95.
- Malacco E, Piazza S, Carretta R, et al; Italian Blood Pressure Study Group. Comparison of benazepril-amlodipine and captopril-thiazide combinations in the management of mild-to-moderate hypertension. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2002 Jun;40(6):263-9.
- Mazza A, Gil-Extremera B, Maldonato A, et al. Nebivolol vs amlodipine as first-line treatment of essential arterial hypertension in the elderly. Blood Press. 2002;11(3):182-8.
- Messerli FH, Oparil S, Feng Z. Comparison of efficacy and side effects of combination therapy of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (benazepril) with calcium antagonist (either nifedipine or amlodipine) vs high-dose calcium antagonist monotherapy for systemic hypertension. Am J Cardiol. 2000 Dec 1:86:1182-7.
- Messerli FH, Weir MR, Neutel JM. Combination therapy of amlodipine and benazepril vs monotherapy of amlodipine in a practice-based setting. Am J Hypertens. 2002 Jun;15(6):550-6.
- Michel T, Hoffmann BB. Treatment of myocardial ischemia and hypertension. In: Brunton LL, Chabner BA, Knollman BC, et al, editors. Goodman and Gilman's The pharmacological basis of therapeutics. 12th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill: 2011.
- Micromedex 2.0 [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village, CO: Truven Health Analytics; Updated periodically. Available from: http://www.micromedexsolutions.com/home/dispatch. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Minami J, Abe C, Akashiba A, et al. Long-term efficacy of combination therapy with losartan and low-dose hydrochlorothiazide in patients with uncontrolled hypertension. Int Heart J. 2007 Mar;48(2):177-86.
- Miranda RD, Mion D, Rocha JC, et al. An 18-week, prospective, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of amlodipine/ramipril combination vs amlodipine monotherapy in the treatment of hypertension: the assessment of combination therapy of amlodipine/ramipril (ATAR) study. Clin Ther. 2008;30:1618-28.
- Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenback S, et al. 2013 ESC Guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: The Task Force for the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2013;34:2949-3003.
- Mounier-Vehier C, Jaboureck O, Emeriau JP, et al. Randomized, comparative, double-blind study of amlodipine vs nicardipine as a treatment of isolated systolic hypertension in the elderly. Fundam Clin Pharmacol. 2002;16(6):537-44.
- National Intervention Cooperative Study in Elderly Hypertensives Study Group. Randomized double-blind comparison of a calcium antagonist and a diuretic in elderly hypertensives. National Intervention Cooperative Study in Elderly Hypertensives Study Group. Hypertension. 1999 Nov;34(5):1129-22
- Neutel JM, Mancia G, Black HR, et al. Single-pill combination of telmisartan/amlodipine in patients with severe hypertension: results from the TEAMSTA severe HTN study. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2012 Apr;14(4):206-215.
- Neutel JM, Smith DH, Weber MA, et al. Efficacy of combination therapy for systolic blood pressure in patients with severe systolic hypertension: the Systolic Evaluation of Lotrel Efficacy and Comparative Therapies (SELECT) study. J Clin Hypertens. 2005 Nov;7(11):641-6.
- Nicardipine capsule prescribing information. Epic Pharma, LLC. Laurelton, NY. August 2017.
- Nimodipine prescribing information. Ascend Laboratories, LLC; Parsippany, NJ. December 2017.
- Nisoldipine extended-release tablet prescribing information, Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.: Morgantown, WV. June 2017.

#### Data as of November 15, 2019 AG-U/KS-U/DKB



- Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Libby P, et al; CAMELOT Investigators. Effect of antihypertensive agents on cardiovascular events in patients with coronary disease and normal blood pressure: the CAMELOT study: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2004;292(18):2217-25.
- NORVASC prescribing information. Pfizer Inc.; New York, NY. January 2019.
- NYMALIZE prescribing information. Arbor Pharmaceuticals Inc.; Atlanta, GA. May 2018.
- O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.019.
- Ogihara T, Nakao K, Fukui T, et al; Candesartan Antihypertensive Survival Evaluation in Japan Trial Group. Effects of candesartan compared to amlodipine in hypertensive patients with high cardiovascular risks: candesartan antihypertensive survival evaluation in Japan trial. Hypertension. 2008 Feb;51(2):393-8.
- Oparil S, Lee J, Karki S, et al. Subgroup analyses of an efficacy and safety study of concomitant administration of amlodipine besylate and olmesartan medoxomil: evaluation by baseline hypertension stage and prior antihypertensive medication use. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. 2009;54(5):427-36.
- Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations [database on the internet]. Silver Spring, MD: Food and Drug Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2019. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Pareek A, Salkar H, Mulay P, et al. A randomized, comparative, multicenter, evaluation of atenolol/amlodipine combination with atenolol alone in essential hypertension patients. Am J Ther. 2010;17:46-52.
- Pepine CJ, Cooper-DeHoff RM, Weiss RJ, et al. Comparative Efficacy and Safety of Nisoldipine and Amlodipine (CESNA-II) Study Investigators.
   Comparison of effects of nisoldipine-extended-release and amlodipine in patients with systemic hypertension and chronic stable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol. 2003; 91(3):274-9.
- Philipp T, Glazer RD, Wernsing M, et al. Initial combination therapy with amlodipine/valsartan compared to monotherapy in the treatment of hypertension (abstract). J Amer Soc Hypertens. 2011;5(5):417-424.
- Philipp T, Smith TR, Glazer R, et al. Two multicenter, 8-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group studies evaluating the efficacy and tolerability of amlodipine and valsartan in combination and as monotherapy in adult patients with mild to moderate essential hypertension. Clin Ther. 2007 Apr;29(4):563-80.
- Pitt B, Byington RP, Furberg CD, et al. Effect of amlodipine on the progression of atherosclerosis and the occurrence of clinical events. PREVENT Investigators. Circulation. 2000;102(13):1503-10.
- Poldermans D, Glazes R, Kargiannis S, et al. Tolerability and blood pressure-lowering efficacy of the combination of amlodipine plus valsartan compared to lisinopril plus hydrochlorothiazide in adult patients with stage 2 hypertension. Clin Ther. 2007 Feb;29(2):279-89.
- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2016;37:2129-2200.
- PROCARDIA prescribing information. Pfizer Inc.; New York, NY. July 2016.
- PROCARDIA XL prescribing information. Pfizer Inc.; New York, NY. July 2016.
- Rahman M, Ford CE, Cutler JA, et al. Long-term renal and cardiovascular outcomes in antihypertensive and lipid-lowering treatment to prevent heart attack trial (ALLHAT) participants by baseline estimated GFR. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;7:989-1002.
- Ribeiro AB, Mion D Jr, Marin MJ, et al; Latin American Hypertension Study (LAMHYST) Group. Antihypertensive efficacy of amlodipine and losartan after two 'missed' doses in patients with mild to moderate essential hypertension. J Int Med Res. 2007 Nov-Dec;35(6):762-72.
- Rosendorff C, Lackland DT, Allison M, et al. Treatment of hypertension in patients with coronary artery disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and American Society of Hypertension (AHA/ACC/ASH). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65(18):1998-2038. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.02.038.
- Ryuzaki M, Nakamoto H, Nishida E, et al. Crossover study of amlodipine vs nifedipine CR with home blood pressure monitoring via cellular phone: internet-mediated open-label crossover trial of calcium-channel blockers for hypertension (I-TECHO trial). J Hypertens. 2007 Nov;25(11):2352-8.
- Saito I, Saruta T. Controlled release nifedipine and valsartan combination therapy in patients with essential hypertension: The Adalat CR and valsartan cost-effectiveness combination (ADVANCE-Combi) study. J Hypertens. 2007 Nov;25(11):2352-8.
- Saseen JJ, MacLaughlin EJ. Hypertension. In: DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC, Matzke GR, Wells BG, Posey LM, editors. Pharmacotherapy: a pathophysiologic approach. 10th edition. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 2017.
- Schrader J, Salvetti A, Calvo C, et al. The combination of amlodipine/valsartan 5/160 mg produces less peripheral oedema than amlodipine 10 mg in hypertensive patients not adequately controlled with amlodipine 5 mg. Int J Clin Pract. 2009;63:217-25.
- Schunkert H, Glazer RD, Wernsing M, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of amlodipine/valsartan combination therapy in hypertensive patients not adequately controlled on amlodipine monotherapy. Curr Med Res Opin. 2009;25:2655-62.
- Sharma A, Bagchi A, Kinagi SB, et al. Results of a comparative, phase III, 12-week, multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind assessment of
  the efficacy and tolerability of a fixed-dose combination of telmisartan and amlodipine vs amlodipine monotherapy in Indian adults with stage II
  hypertension. Clin Ther. 2007;29:2667-76.
- Sheehy O, LeLorier J. Patterns of amlodipine and felodipine use in an elderly Quebec population. Can J Cardiol. 2000;16(9):1109-17.
- Sinkiewicz W, Glazer RD, Kavoliuniene A, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of amlodipine/valsartan combination therapy in hypertensive patients not adequately controlled on valsartan monotherapy. Curr Med Res Opin. 2009;25:315-24.
- Smith SM, Cooper-DeHoff RM. Fixed-dose combination amlodipine/celecoxib (Consensi) for hypertension and osteoarthritis [published online ahead of print September 18, 2018]. Am J Med. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2018.08.027.
- SULAR prescribing information. Covis Pharma. July 2017.
- Tatti P, Pahor M, Byington RP, et al. Outcome results of the Fosinopril vs Amlodipine Cardiovascular Events Trial (FACET) in patients with hypertension and non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 1998; 21:597-603.
- Van Bortel LM, Fici F, Mascagni F. Efficacy and tolerability of nebivolol compared to other antihypertensive drugs: a meta-analysis. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs. 2008;8(1):35-44.
- Van Der Krogt J, Brand R, Dawson E. Amlodipine vs extended-release felodipine in general practice: A randomized, parallel-group study in patients with mild-to-moderate hypertension. Curr Therap Res. 1996; 57 (3):145-58.



- Weber MA, Schiffrin EL, White WB, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of hypertension in the community: a statement by the American Society of Hypertension and the International Society of Hypertension (ASH/ISH). J Hypertens. 2014 Jan;32(1):3-15.
- Weber MA, Bakris GL, Jamerson K, et al.; ACCOMPLISH Investigators. Cardiovascular events during differing hypertension therapies in patients with diabetes. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010 Jun 29;56(1):77-85.
- Weber MA, Jamerson K, Bakris G, et al. Effects of body size and hypertension treatments on cardiovascular event rates: subanalysis of the ACCOMPLISH randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2013;381:537-45.
- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(6):e13-e115. doi: 10.1161/HYP.0000000000000055.
- Whitcomb C, Enzmann G, Pershadsingh HA, et al. A comparison of nisoldipine ER and amlodipine for the treatment of mild to moderate hypertension. Int J Clin Pract. 2000;54(8):509-13.
- White WB, Duprez D, St Hillaire R, et al. Effects of the selective aldosterone blocker eplerenone vs the calcium antagonist amlodipine in systolic hypertension. Hypertension. 2003a;41(5):1021-6.
- White WB, Saunders E, Noveck RJ, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of nisoldipine extended-release (ER) and amlodipine (CESNA-III study) in African American patients with hypertension. Am J Hypertens. 2003b;16(9 Pt 1):739-45.
- Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. 2018 European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Society of Hypertension (ESH) Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J.* 2018;39(33):3021-3104. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy339.
- Wiysonge CS, Bradley H, Mayosi BM, et al. Beta-blockers for hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007 Jan 24;(1):CD002003. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD002003.pub2.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurk B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(16):e147-239.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update on new pharmacological therapy for heart failure: an update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016 Sep 27;68(13):1476-88.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. J Card Fail. 2017;23(8):628-651. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2017.04.014.
- Zanchetti A, Julius S, Kjeldsen S, et al. Outcomes in subgroups of hypertensive patients treated with regimens based on valsartan and amlodipine: An analysis of findings from the VALUE trial. J Hypertens. 2006 Nov;24(11):2163-8.
- Zhang J, Liu J, Li D, Zhang C, Liu M. Calcium antagonists for acute ischemic stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019; 2:CD001928. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD001928.pub3.

#### Non-dihydropyridine

- Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the
  prevention of sudden cardiac death: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice
  Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. Heart Rhythm. 2018;15(10):e190-e252. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2017.10.035.
- American Geriatrics Society. American Geriatrics Society 2015 updated Beers Criteria for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2015;63(11):2227-46.
- Amsterdam E, Wenger N, Brindis R, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Non-ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64(24):e139-228.
- Bangalore S, Messerli F, Cohen J, et al. Verapamil-sustained release-based treatment strategy at reducing cardiovascular events in patients with prior myocardial infarction: an International VErapamil SR-Trandolapril (INVEST) substudy. Am Heart J. 2008;156:241-7.
- Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics 2019 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2019;139(10):e56-e528. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000059.
- Black HR, Elliott WJ, Grandits G, et al. Principal results of the Controlled Onset Verapamil Investigation of Cardiovascular End Points (CONVINCE) trial. JAMA. 2003;289(16):2073-82.
- CALAN prescribing information. G.D. Searle, Division of Pfizer, Inc.; New York, NY. September 2017.
- CALAN SR prescribing information. G.D. Searle, Division of Pfizer, Inc.; New York, NY. October 2019.
- CARDIZEM prescribing information. Valeant Pharmaceuticals North America, LLC; Bridgewater, NJ. November 2016.
- CARDIZEM CD prescribing information. Valeant Pharmaceuticals North America, LLC; Bridgewater, NJ. January 2017.
- CARDIZEM LA prescribing information. Bausch Health Companies, Inc.; Bridgewater, NJ. September 2019.
- Carey RM, Calhoun DA, Bakris GL, et al. Resistant Hypertension: Detection, Evaluation, and Management: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Hypertension*. 2018;72(5):e53-e90. doi: 10.1161/HYP.000000000000084.
- Chugh SK, Digpal K, Hutchinson T, et al. A randomized, double-blind comparison of the efficacy and tolerability of once-daily modified-release
  diltiazem capsules with once-daily amlodipine tablets in patients with stable angina. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. 2001 38(3):356-64.
- De Rosa ML, Giordano A, Melfi M, et al. Antianginal efficacy over 24 hours and exercise hemodynamic effects of once daily sustained-release 300 mg diltiazem and 240 mg verapamil in stable angina pectoris. Int J Cardiol. 1998;63(1):27-35.
- DILT-XR prescribing information. Apotex, Inc; Weston, FL. July 2012.
- Dobesh PP. Stable ischemic heart disease. In: DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC, Matzke GR, Wells BG, Posey LM, editors. Pharmacotherapy: a
  pathophysiologic approach. 10th edition. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 2017.



- Drugs@FDA [database on the Internet]. Rockville, MD: Food and Drug Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2019. Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Facts and Comparisons® eAnswers [database on the Internet]. St. Louis, MO: Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc; 2019. Available from: http://online.factsandcomparisons.com. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Fihn SD, Gardin JM, Abrams J, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American College of Physicians, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Circulation. 2012;126:e354–e471.
- Fihn SD, Blankenship J, Alexander K, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS focused update of the guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014 Nov 4;64(18):1929-49.
- Flynn JT, Kaelber DC, Baker-Smith CM, et al. Clinical practice guideline for screening and management of high blood pressure in children and adolescents. Pediatrics. 2017;140(3). pii: e20171904. doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-1904. Epub 2017 Aug 21. Erratum in: Pediatrics. 2017 Nov 30.
- Frishman WH, Glasser S, Stone P, et al. Comparison of controlled-onset, extended-release verapamil with amlodipine and amlodipine plus atenolol on exercise performance and ambulatory ischemia in patients with chronic stable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol. 1999 Feb 15;83(4):507-14.
- Go AS, Bauman M, King SM, et al. An Effective Approach to High Blood Pressure Control: A Science Advisory from the American Heart Association, the American College of Cardiology, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (AHA/ACC/CDC). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63(12):1230-1238
- Hansson L, Hedner T, Lund-Johansen P, et al. Randomized trial of effects of calcium antagonists compared to diuretics and beta-blockers on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in hypertension: the Nordic Diltiazem (NORDIL) study. Lancet. 2000;356(9227):359-65.
- James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, et al. 2014 Evidence-Based Guideline for the Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: Report From the Panel Members Appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). JAMA. 2014;311(5):507-20.
- January CT, Wann LS, Alpert J, et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014 Dec 2:64(21):e1-e76.
- Kannam JP, Gersh BJ. Calcium-channel blockers in the management of stable angina pectoris. UpToDate Web site. Available from: http://www.uptodate.com/contents/calcium-channel-blockers-in-the-management-of-stable-angina-pectoris. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) clinical practice guideline for the management of blood pressure in chronic kidney disease. Kidney Int Suppl. 2012 Dec;2(5):337-414. Available at: <a href="https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/KDIGO-2012-Blood-Pressure-Guideline-English.pdf">https://kdigo.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/KDIGO-2012-Blood-Pressure-Guideline-English.pdf</a>. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Mancia G, Messerli F, Bakris G, et al. Blood Pressure Control and Improved Cardiovascular Outcomes in the International Verapamil SR-Trandolapril Study. Hypertension. 2007;50:299-305.
- Michel T, Hoffmann BB. Treatment of myocardial ischemia and hypertension. In: Brunton LL, Chabner BA, Knollman BC, et al, editors. Goodman and Gilman's The pharmacological basis of therapeutics. 12th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill: 2011.
- Micromedex<sup>®</sup> 2.0 [database on the Internet]. Greenwood Village, CO: Truven Health Analytics; Updated periodically. Available from: <a href="http://www.micromedexsolutions.com/home/dispatch">http://www.micromedexsolutions.com/home/dispatch</a>. Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Montalescot G, Sechtem U, Achenback S, et al. 2013 ESC Guidelines on the management of stable coronary artery disease: The Task Force for the management of stable coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2013;34:2949-3003.
- O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2012. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.11.019.
- Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations [database on the internet]. Silver Spring, MD: Food and Drug
  Administration (US), Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; 2019. Available at: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm.
  Accessed November 15, 2019.
- Page RL, Joglar JA, Caldwell MA, et al. 2015 ACC/AHA/HRS guideline for the management of adult patients with supraventricular tachycardia. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;67(13):e27-115.
- Pepine CJ, Handberg EM, Cooper-DeHoff RM, et al. A calcium antagonist vs a non-calcium antagonist hypertension treatment strategy for patients
  with coronary artery disease: the international verapamil-trandolapril study (INVEST): a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2003 Dec 3;290(21):280516.
- Rosei EA, Dal Palu C, Leonetti G, et al. Clinical results of the Verapamil in Hypertension and Atherosclerosis Study. VHAS Investigators. J Hypertens. 1997;15(11):1337-44.
- Rosendorff C, Lackland DT, Allison M, et al. Treatment of hypertension in patients with coronary artery disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and American Society of Hypertension (AHA/ACC/ASH). J Am Coll Cardiol. May 2015;65(18):1998;2038
- Saseen JJ, MacLaughlin EJ. Hypertension. In: DiPiro JT, Talbert RL, Yee GC, Matzke GR, Wells BG, Posey LM, editors. Pharmacotherapy: a
  pathophysiologic approach. 10th edition. New York (NY): McGraw-Hill; 2017.
- TIAZAC prescribing information. Valeant Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Bridgewater, NJ. November 2016.
- Van Bortel LM, Fici F, Mascagni F. Efficacy and tolerability of nebivolol compared to other antihypertensive drugs: a meta-analysis. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs. 2008;8(1):35-44.



- van Kesteren HA, Withagen AJ. A comparative study of once-daily amlodipine vs twice-daily diltiazem controlled-release (CR) in the treatment of stable angina pectoris. Amlodipine Study Group. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther. 1998;12 Suppl 3:233-7.
- VERELAN prescribing information. Recro Gainesville LLC. Gainesville, GA. October 2019.
- VERELAN PM prescribing information. Recro Gainesville LLC. Gainesville, GA. October 2019.
- Weber MA, Schiffrin EL, White WB, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension in the Community: A Statement by the American Society of Hypertension and the International Society of Hypertension (ASH/ISH). J Hypertens. 2014 Jan;32(1):3-15.
- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(6):e13-e115. doi: 10.1161/HYP.0000000000000065
- Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. 2018 European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Society of Hypertension (ESH) Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39(33):3021-3104. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy339.
- Wright JT Jr, Sica DA, Gana TJ, et al. Antihypertensive efficacy of night-time graded-release diltiazem vs morning amlodipine in African Americans. Am J Hypertens. 2004;17(9):734-42.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurk B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2013;128:e240-e327.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update on new pharmacological therapy for heart failure: an update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016 Sep 27;68(13):1476-88.
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. J Card Fail. 2017;23(8):628-651. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2017.04.014.

Publication Date: January 2, 2020