Therapeutic Class Overview
Incretin Mimetics & Amylinomimetics

INTRODUCTION

- Diabetes mellitus affects more than 30 million people in the United States (U.S.) (*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] 2020*).
- Diabetes mellitus is defined as a group of metabolic disorders characterized by hyperglycemia that result from defects in the secretion and action of insulin (*American Diabetes Association [ADA] Diabetes Basics 2020*).
- The classification of diabetes includes 4 clinical classes: 1) type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) which results from beta-cell (β-cell) destruction, usually leading to absolute insulin deficiency, 2) type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) which results from a progressive insulin secretory defect on the background of insulin resistance, 3) other specific types of diabetes due to other causes, eg, genetic defects in β-cell function, genetic defects in insulin action, diseases of the exocrine pancreas (such as cystic fibrosis), and drug- or chemical-induced (such as in the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or after organ transplantation), and 4) gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (diabetes diagnosed during pregnancy that is not clearly overt diabetes) (*ADA 2020*).
- Insulin is the standard treatment for T1DM. Pharmacologic options for T2DM include sulfonylureas (SFUs), biguanides, thiazolidinediones (TZDs), meglitinides, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors, glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists, amylinomimetics, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, combination products, and insulin.
- The GLP-1 receptor agonists (albiglutide, dulaglutide, exenatide, exenatide extended-release [ER], liraglutide, lixisenatide, and semaglutide) were developed to mimic the effects of endogenous GLP-1 and are Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved as adjunctive therapy to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with T2DM. All GLP-1 receptor agonists are administered via subcutaneous injection, with the exception of Rybelsus (semaglutide) tablets, which are administered orally. As of 2018, albiglutide was discontinued by the manufacturer due to limited prescribing of the drug and not because of safety concerns (*DRUGS@FDA 2020*).
- Pramlintide is the only amylin analog, or amylinomimetic, in the class, and is FDA-approved as an adjunctive treatment with insulin in patients with T1DM or T2DM who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy. It is a synthetic analog of human amylin, a naturally occurring neuroendocrine hormone synthesized by pancreatic β-cells that contributes to glucose control during the post-prandial period.
- This review will focus on the GLP-1 receptor agonists and pramlintide and their respective FDA-approved indications for treatment of diabetes. Liraglutide (Saxenda) is also indicated as adjunctive therapy for chronic weight management; however, the use of liraglutide for this indication will not be included in this review.
- Medispan class: Endocrine and Metabolic Drugs; Incretin Mimetic Agents (GLP-1 Receptor Agonists) and Amylin Analogs

Table 1. Medications Included Within Class Review

Drug	Generic Availability
Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	-
Bydureon (exenatide ER)	-
Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)	-
Byetta (exenatide)	-
Ozempic (semaglutide)	-
Rybelsus (semaglutide)	-
Symlin (pramlintide)	-
Trulicity (dulaglutide)	-
Victoza (liraglutide)*	-

*As a result of a generic settlement agreement, a generic version of liraglutide may enter the market as early as December 22, 2023 (*Coppock 2019*).

(*DRUGS@FDA 2020*, *Orange Book: Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations 2020*)

INDICATIONS

Table 2. FDA Approved Indications

Indication	Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	Byetta (exenatide)	Bydureon (exenatide ER)	Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)	Ozempic (semaglutide)	Rybelsus (semaglutide)	Symlin (pramlintide)	Trulicity (dulaglutide)	Victoza (liraglutide)
T1DM, as an adjunctive treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy							✔		
T2DM, as an adjunctive treatment in patients who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy							✔		
Adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in adults with T2DM	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔		✔	✔
Adjunct to diet and exercise to improve glycemic control in patients 10 years and older with T2DM									✔
Reduce the risk of major adverse cardiovascular (CV) events (MACE; CV death, non-fatal myocardial infarction [MI], or non-fatal stroke) in adults with T2DM and established CV disease (CVD)					✔				✔
Reduce the risk of MACE (CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke) in adults with T2DM who have established CVD or multiple CV risk factors								✔	
Limitations of Use									
Not recommended as first-line therapy for patients inadequately controlled on diet and exercise because of the uncertain relevance of the rodent C-cell tumor findings to humans. Prescribe only to patients for whom the potential benefits are considered to outweigh the potential risk.			✔	✔	✔	✔		✔	
Has not been studied in patients with a history of pancreatitis. Consider other antidiabetic therapies in these patients.	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔		✔	

Indication	Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	Byetta (exenatide)	Bydureon (exenatide ER)	Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)	Ozempic (semaglutide)	Rybelsus (semaglutide)	Symlin (pramlintide)	Trulicity (dulaglutide)	Victoza (liraglutide)
Not indicated in treatment of patients with T1DM or for treatment of patients with diabetic ketoacidosis. Not a substitute for insulin in these patients.	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔		✔	✔
Has not been studied in patients with severe gastrointestinal (GI) disease, including severe gastroparesis. Not recommended in patients with pre-existing severe GI disease.								✔	
Has not been studied in patients with gastroparesis. Not recommended in patients with gastroparesis.	✔								
Not studied in combination with prandial/short-acting insulin.	✔	✔	✔	✔					✔

(Prescribing information: *Adlyxin 2019*, *Bydureon 2019, Bydureon BCise 2019, Byetta 2018, Ozempic 2020, Rybelsus 2020, Symlin 2019, Trulicity 2019, Victoza 2019*)

NOTE: Information on indications, mechanism of action, pharmacokinetics, and safety has been obtained from the prescribing information for the individual products, except where noted otherwise.

CLINICAL EFFICACY SUMMARY

Dulaglutide

- The approval of dulaglutide was based on 6 pivotal trials enrolling over 3,000 patients as a part of the AWARD phase 3 program. Trials evaluated the use of dulaglutide 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg strengths. The primary outcome in each trial was the change in HbA1c from baseline to 26 through 52 weeks.
  - AWARD-1 demonstrated that once weekly dulaglutide resulted in significantly larger improvements in HbA1c at 26 weeks compared to placebo and exenatide in patients taking maximally tolerated doses of metformin and pioglitazone (*Wysham et al 2014*).
  - AWARD-2 was an OL study that demonstrated superiority of dulaglutide 1.5 mg once weekly and noninferiority of dulaglutide 0.75 mg once weekly compared to daily insulin glargine in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 52 (*Giorgino et al 2015*).
  - AWARD-3 was a double-blind (DB) study that demonstrated superiority of dulaglutide 0.75 mg and 1.5 mg once weekly to metformin in patients inadequately treated with diet and exercise with or without submaximal dosing of at least 1 oral antidiabetic drug (OAD). At 26 weeks, changes from baseline HbA1c were 0.78%, 0.71%, and 0.56% for dulaglutide 1.5 mg, dulaglutide 0.75 mg, and metformin, respectively (*Umpierrez et al 2014*).
  - AWARD-4 was an OL, 52-week, noninferiority study which found that dulaglutide once-weekly (both 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg strengths) in combination with insulin lispro resulted in significantly greater improvement in glycemic control than insulin glargine in combination with insulin lispro ($p = 0.005$ and $p = 0.015$ for dulaglutide 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg, respectively) (*Blonde et al 2015*).
  - AWARD-5 was a DB trial that compared placebo, once-weekly dulaglutide (0.75 mg and 1.5 mg), and sitagliptin 100 mg once daily in uncontrolled metformin-treated patients. At weeks 52 and 104, both dulaglutide strengths were

superior to sitagliptin in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline ($p < 0.001$ for all comparisons) (*Nauck et al 2014, Weinstock et al 2015*).
  - AWARD-6 was an OL trial which demonstrated that, in patients taking concurrent metformin, dulaglutide 1.5 mg once weekly was noninferior to liraglutide once daily in HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 26 (*Dungan et al 2014*).
  - The AWARD-7 trial was an OL, non-inferiority study that enrolled patients with T2DM and moderate-to-severe chronic kidney disease (CKD) who were currently on insulin therapy. Patients were randomized to once-weekly dulaglutide (0.75 mg or 1.5 mg) or daily insulin glargine, all in combination with insulin lispro. At week 26, the change in HbA1c with dulaglutide 1.5 mg and 0.75 mg was non-inferior to insulin glargine ($p \leq 0.0001$ for both comparisons) (*Tuttle et al 2018*).

Exenatide

- The efficacy of exenatide as add-on therapy to metformin alone, an SFU alone, or metformin in combination with an SFU was evaluated in 3 placebo-controlled (PC), 30-week, randomized controlled trials (RCTs). In all trials, there were significant decreases in HbA1c with exenatide compared to placebo ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.002$, and $p < 0.0001$, respectively) (*Buse et al 2004, DeFronzo et al 2005, Kendall et al 2005*). Extensions of these 30-week trials demonstrated that the benefits of exenatide are sustained (*Blonde et al 2006, Buse et al 2007, Klonoff et al 2008, Ratner et al 2006, Riddle et al 2006*).
- A trial evaluating exenatide as add-on therapy in patients currently taking a TZD found that at week 16, exenatide significantly decreased HbA1c ($p < 0.001$), fasting plasma glucose (FPG) ($p < 0.001$), and body weight ($p < 0.001$) compared to placebo (*Zinman et al 2007*).
- When exenatide was compared to glyburide as add-on therapy to metformin, exenatide significantly decreased body weight and body mass index (BMI) ($p < 0.001$ for both), whereas the SFU caused significant increases in both ($p < 0.05$ for both). Both treatments significantly decreased HbA1c, FPG, and postprandial plasma glucose (PPG) (exenatide; $p < 0.001$ for all; glyburide; $p < 0.001$ for all). Only exenatide significantly improved insulin resistance ($p < 0.01$) and β-cell function ($p < 0.05$) (*Derosa et al 2010*).
- The EUREXA study compared the efficacy of exenatide and glimepiride as add-on therapy to metformin. Patients receiving exenatide exhibited greater reductions in HbA1c from baseline (-0.36%), compared to those receiving glimepiride (-0.21%; $p = 0.002$) (*Gallwitz et al 2012*).
- Several trials have compared exenatide to insulin therapy as add-on therapy to metformin and/or an SFU (*Bunck et al 2009, Bunck et al 2010, Davies et al 2009, Heine et al 2005, Nauck et al 2007, Secnik et al 2006*). Similar improvements in HbA1c between treatments were observed in 3 of the trials while mixed results were observed for decreases in FPG. Specifically, in 2 trials, insulin therapy was “superior” in decreasing FPG (p value not reported and $p < 0.0001$), while in another trial there was no difference between the 2 treatments ($p = 0.689$). Insulin therapy was associated with an increase in body weight compared to a decrease with exenatide (*Bunck et al 2009, Heine et al 2005, Nauck et al 2007*). Patient-reported health outcome measures demonstrated no differences between exenatide or insulin therapy; both achieved significant improvements from baseline. However, neither treatment improved Diabetes Treatment Flexibility Scores ($p = 0.93$ for both) (*Secnik et al 2006*).
- Exenatide once weekly was also compared to daily insulin glargine in diabetic patients inadequately controlled with OADs. Following 26 weeks of therapy, exenatide was found to be statistically noninferior to insulin glargine for the change in HbA1c from baseline to endpoint (*Inagaki et al 2012*).

Exenatide ER

- Approval of exenatide ER in the management of T2DM was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the DURATION trials (1 through 5). Exenatide ER was added to existing antidiabetic regimens in 4 of the 5 trials (1, 2, 3, and 5). In contrast, DURATION-4 compared exenatide ER, metformin, pioglitazone, and sitagliptin all as monotherapy (*Bergenstal et al 2010, Blevins et al 2011, Diamant et al 2010, Drucker et al 2008, Russell-Jones et al 2012*).
  - Overall, exenatide ER as add-on therapy to existing antidiabetic regimens significantly decreased HbA1c compared to exenatide ($p < 0.005$), sitagliptin ($p < 0.0001$), pioglitazone ($p = 0.0165$), and insulin therapy ($p = 0.017$), with no increased risk of hypoglycemia. In terms of decreases in body weight, exenatide ER was superior compared to sitagliptin ($p = 0.0002$) and pioglitazone ($p < 0.0001$), and similar compared to exenatide ($p = 0.89$) (*Bergenstal et al 2010, Blevins et al 2011, Drucker et al 2008*).
  - As expected, GI-related adverse events (AEs) were reported more commonly with the incretin-based therapies. When compared to exenatide, exenatide ER was associated with lower incidences of nausea (14.0% vs 35.0%) and vomiting (4.7% vs 8.9%), and higher incidences of diarrhea (9.3% vs 4.1%) and injection site-related AEs (13% vs 10%) (*Blevins et al 2011*).

- In the DURATION-4 trial, the decrease in HbA1c achieved with exenatide ER monotherapy was superior compared to sitagliptin ($p < 0.001$) and similar compared to metformin ($p = 0.62$) and pioglitazone ($p = 0.328$). Exenatide ER and metformin were similar in terms of associated decreases in body weight, with exenatide ER achieving superiority compared to sitagliptin and pioglitazone. Overall, exenatide ER was associated with more GI-related AEs, with the exception of diarrhea which occurred at the highest frequency in patients receiving metformin (*Diamant et al 2010*).
- An OL extension of the DURATION-1 trial demonstrated that treatment with exenatide ER was associated with sustained improvements in glycemic control over a 7-year period with no unexpected safety findings (*Philis-Tsimikas et al 2018*).

- In a post-hoc analysis of 4 clinical trials, patients were treated with weekly exenatide for 52 weeks. Patients had significant lowering of HbA1c, blood pressure and low density lipoprotein (LDL) levels without an increase in weight or hypoglycemia (*Bergenstal et al 2013*).
- The DURATION-6 trial compared HbA1c reductions between liraglutide once daily and exenatide once weekly in patients with T2DM previously treated with lifestyle modifications and oral agents. Both therapies resulted in improvements in glycemic control; however, greater reductions were noted with liraglutide (*Buse et al 2013*).
- Bydureon BCise is a new formulation of Bydureon that is administered via an autoinjector device. It was approved based on the results of two 28-week, OL, AC trials. In the DURATION-NEO-1 trial, Bydureon BCise 2 mg once weekly achieved a statistically significant HbA1c reduction vs Byetta 10 mcg twice daily ($p < 0.05$) in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled with diet and exercise alone or with a stable regimen of metformin, an SFU, a TZD, or a combination of any 2 of these agents. In the DURATION-NEO-2 trial, Bydureon BCise 2 mg once weekly achieved a statistically significant HbA1c reduction vs placebo ($p < 0.05$) in patients with T2DM on metformin. The difference vs sitagliptin was -0.28% (95% CI, -0.62% to -0.02%) (*Bydureon BCise Prescribing Information 2017, Gadde et al 2017, Wysham et al 2017)*.

Liraglutide

- Approval of liraglutide in the management of T2DM was based on the clinical evidence for safety and efficacy derived from the LEAD trials (1 through 6). The LEAD trials evaluated liraglutide monotherapy (LEAD-3); add-on therapy to an SFU (LEAD-1), metformin (LEAD-2), metformin plus a TZD (LEAD-4), metformin plus an SFU (LEAD-5); and monotherapy head-to-head with exenatide (LEAD-6).
  - In LEAD-1, liraglutide was compared to placebo or rosiglitazone as add-on therapy to an SFU. After 26 weeks, liraglutide (0.6, 1.2, and 1.8 mg per day) significantly decreased HbA1c compared to placebo ($p < 0.0001$ for all), with only higher doses achieving superiority compared to rosiglitazone ($p < 0.001$ for both) (*Marre et al 2009*).
  - In LEAD-2, liraglutide was compared to placebo and an SFU as add-on therapy to metformin. Liraglutide significantly decreased HbA1c compared to placebo; however, similar decreases were observed with liraglutide compared to the SFU. Liraglutide was associated with significant decreases in body weight compared to placebo ($p < 0.01$) and the SFU ($p < 0.001$) (*Nauck et al 2009*). Results of an 18-month OL extension trial were consistent with the DB study (*Nauck et al 2013*).
  - In LEAD-3, liraglutide was compared to an SFU as monotherapy, and liraglutide was superior in decreasing HbA1c ($p = 0.0014$ and $p < 0.0001$ for liraglutide 1.2 mg and 1.8 mg, respectively). In addition, increases in body weight were reported with the SFU, while liraglutide significantly decreased body weight ($p = 0.027$) (*Garber et al 2009*). In a 1-year extension trial, patients continuing liraglutide for a total of 2 years maintained significant improvements in HbA1c compared to the SFU (*Garber et al 2011*).
  - In LEAD-4 and LEAD-5, liraglutide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to metformin plus an SFU and to a TZD. LEAD-5 also had an OL arm of insulin therapy. Results achieved with liraglutide in terms of decreases in HbA1c, body weight, and FPG compared to placebo were similar to those observed in the other LEAD trials (*Russell-Jones et al 2009; Zinman et al 2009*). When compared to insulin therapy, decreases in HbA1c ($p = 0.0015$) and body weight ($p < 0.001$) and improvements in β-cell function ($p = 0.0019$) were significantly greater with liraglutide. It was noted that decreases in PPG were not different between the 2 treatments, and the likelihood of patients achieving FPG goals were also similar (*Russell-Jones et al 2009*).
  - LEAD-6 was a head-to-head trial comparing liraglutide to exenatide as add-on therapy to existing antidiabetic treatment regimens. Liraglutide significantly decreased HbA1c compared to exenatide (1.12% vs 0.79%; $p < 0.0001$), and a significantly greater proportion of patients receiving liraglutide achieved HbA1c goals of < 7%. Significant decreases in FPG were also achieved with liraglutide ($p < 0.0001$); however, exenatide significantly decreased PPG after breakfast and dinner ($p < 0.0001$ and $p = 0.0005$) (*Buse et al 2009*). A 14-week, extension trial revealed that patients who were switched from exenatide to liraglutide achieved additional glycemic control and cardiometabolic benefits (*Buse et al 2010*).

- Liraglutide was studied in children and adolescents aged 10 to less than 17 years with T2DM in the PC Ellipse trial (*Tamborlane et al 2019*). After 26 weeks of DB treatment, liraglutide was associated with a significantly greater decrease in HbA1c vs placebo (mean difference, -1.06%; 95% CI, -1.65 to -0.46; p < 0.001), which was maintained over an additional 26-week OL extension (mean difference, -1.30%; 95% CI, -1.89 to -0.70).

Lixisenatide

- The approval of lixisenatide was based on several phase 3 trials as part of the GetGoal clinical trial program. Lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily was evaluated as monotherapy, in combination with OADs, and in combination with basal insulin (with or without OADs). Its efficacy was compared with placebo, exenatide, and insulin glulisine. The primary endpoint, the difference in change in HbA1c from baseline to trial end between the lixisenatide and comparator groups, was assessed at varying time points ranging between 12 and 26 weeks.
  - GetGoal-Mono found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily as monotherapy resulted in significantly larger improvements in HbA1c at 12 weeks compared to placebo in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled on diet and exercise (p < 0.0001) (*Fonseca et al 2012*).
  - GetGoal-F1 was a DB study which found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily as add-on therapy to metformin was superior vs placebo in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24. The least squares mean change from baseline was -0.26% for the placebo group vs -0.72% for the lixisenatide group. The difference vs placebo was -0.46% (p < 0.0001) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Bolli et al 2014*).
  - GetGoal-M-Asia demonstrated superiority of lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily as add-on therapy to metformin with or without an SFU compared to placebo in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24 (*Yu et al 2014*).
  - GetGoal-S was a 24-week, DB study which found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily in combination with an SFU with or without metformin resulted in significantly greater improvement in glycemic control than placebo; the difference from placebo in change in HbA1c was -0.58% (p < 0.0001) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Rosenstock et al 2014*).
  - GetGoal-P was a 24-week, DB study which found that lixisenatide 20 mcg once daily in combination with pioglitazone or without metformin resulted in significantly greater improvement in glycemic control than placebo; the difference from placebo in change in HbA1c was -0.48% (p < 0.0001) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Pinget al 2013*).
  - In GetGoal-Duo 1, lixisenatide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to basal insulin and metformin with or without a TZD. Treatment with lixisenatide resulted in a significant reduction in HbA1c at week 24 vs placebo (*Riddle et al 2013a*).
  - In GetGoal-L, lixisenatide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to basal insulin with or without metformin while in Get-Goal-L-Asia, lixisenatide was compared to placebo as add-on therapy to basal insulin with or without an SFU. Both studies found that lixisenatide was superior to placebo in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24 (*Riddle et al 2013b, Seino et al 2012*).
  - GetGoal-Duo 2 was a 26-week, OL trial that compared lixisenatide to insulin glulisine once daily or 3 times daily for intensification of optimized insulin glargine ± metformin in patients with T2DM uncontrolled on basal insulin ± OADs (ie, an SFU and/or a DPP-4 inhibitor, and/or a glinide). Lixisenatide was found to be noninferior to both insulin glulisine regimens in terms of HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 26. However, lixisenatide provided less HbA1c reduction than insulin glulisine 3 times daily and the difference was statistically significant; the least squares mean difference of lixisenatide vs insulin glulisine 3 times daily was 0.23 (p = 0.0002) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Rosenstock et al 2016*).
  - GetGoal-X was a 24-week, OL trial that evaluated lixisenatide vs exenatide twice daily as add-on therapy to metformin. Lixisenatide met the pre-specified noninferiority margin vs exenatide twice daily for the difference in HbA1c reduction from baseline to week 24. However, lixisenatide provided less HbA1c reduction than exenatide and the difference was statistically significant; the least squares mean difference vs exenatide was 0.17% (p = 0.0175) (*Adlyxin Prescribing Information 2016, Rosenstock et al 2013*).
  - A meta-analysis (MA) of 76-week data from 5 trials in the GetGoal clinical trial program (GetGoal-M, GetGoal-F1, GetGoal-S, GetGoal-P, and GetGoal-L) supported the sustained efficacy and tolerability of lixisenatide (*Broglio et al 2017*).

Semaglutide

- The approval of semaglutide was based on several phase 3 trials as part of the SUSTAIN clinical trial program. Semaglutide was evaluated as monotherapy, in combination with OADs, and in combination with basal insulin. Its efficacy was compared with placebo, sitagliptin, exenatide ER, insulin glargine, and dulaglutide. The primary endpoint, the difference in change in HbA1c from baseline to trial end between the semaglutide and comparator groups, was assessed at varying time points ranging between 30 and 56 weeks.

- SUSTAIN 1 was a 30-week, PC trial which found that semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg weekly significantly improved HbA1c vs placebo ($p < 0.0001$) (*Sorli et al 2017*).
- SUSTAIN 2 was a 56-week, OL trial that compared semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg weekly to sitagliptin 100 mg daily in patients on metformin and/or TZDs. Compared with sitagliptin, treatment with semaglutide resulted in statistically significant reductions in HbA1c from baseline to week 56. The mean change from baseline was -1.3% for semaglutide 0.5 mg, -1.5% for semaglutide 1 mg, and -0.7% for sitagliptin. The difference vs sitagliptin was -0.6% ($p < 0.0001$) for semaglutide 0.5 mg and -0.8% ($p < 0.0001$) for semaglutide 1 mg (*Ahrén et al 2017, Ozempic Prescribing Information 2017*).
- SUSTAIN 3 was a 56-week, OL trial that compared semaglutide 1 mg to exenatide ER 2 mg once weekly. At week 56, mean change from baseline in HbA1c was -1.4% in the semaglutide group vs -0.9% in the exenatide ER group (difference: -0.5%, $p < 0.0001$) (*Ahmann et al 2018, Ozempic Prescribing Information 2017*).
- SUSTAIN 4 was a 30-week OL, AC trial in patients on metformin with or without an SFU that compared semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg to insulin glargine initiated at 10 units once daily. Compared with insulin glargine, treatment with semaglutide resulted in statistically significant reductions in HbA1c from baseline to week 30. The mean change from baseline was -1.2% for semaglutide 0.5 mg, -1.5% for semaglutide 1 mg, and -0.9% for insulin glargine. The difference vs insulin glargine was -0.3% ($p < 0.0001$) for semaglutide 0.5 mg and -0.6% ($p < 0.0001$) for semaglutide 1 mg (*Aroda et al 2017*, *Ozempic Prescribing Information 2017*).
- SUSTAIN 5 was a 30-week, DB, PC trial in patients inadequately controlled with basal insulin, with or without metformin, which found that semaglutide 0.5 mg and 1 mg significantly reduced HbA1c vs placebo ($p < 0.0001$) (*Rodbard et al 2018).*
- SUSTAIN 7 was a 40-week, OL trial that compared semaglutide to dulaglutide once weekly in patients on metformin monotherapy. From a mean baseline HbA1c of 8.2%, semaglutide 0.5 mg achieved a statistically significant reduction of 1.5% vs a reduction of 1.1% with dulaglutide 0.75 mg at week 40, while semaglutide 1.0 mg achieved a statistically significant reduction of 1.8% vs a reduction of 1.4% with dulaglutide 1.5 mg (both $p < 0.0001$ for noninferiority and superiority) *(Pratley et al 2018).*

Oral Semaglutide

- The Peptide Innovation for Early Diabetes Treatment (PIONEER) clinical development program for oral semaglutide consisted of 10 clinical trials that enrolled a total of 9543 adult patients with T2DM *(Novo Nordisk news release 2019).*
- PIONEER 1, 5, and 8 were Phase 3a, DB, PC, MC, RCTs that evaluated the glycemic efficacy of Rybelsus compared to placebo in various settings. The primary endpoint was the change from baseline to Week 26 in HbA1c. Secondary endpoints included body weight, FPG, and the proportion of patients achieving HbA1c < 7.0%. Overall, Rybelsus improved HbA1c, FPG, and body weight (at higher doses) with a similar safety profile to other GLP-1RAs *(Buse et al 2019, Novo Nordisk medical information 2019)*.
  - PIONEER 1 (N = 703) compared 3 doses of Rybelsus to placebo as monotherapy for 26 weeks in treatment-naïve patients managed by diet and exercise alone *(Aroda et al 2019)*.
  - PIONEER 5 (N = 324) evaluated the effect of Rybelsus 14 mg compared to placebo for 26 weeks in patients with moderate renal impairment (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] ≥ 30 and < 60 mL/min/1.73 m^2) receiving a stable dose of metformin, SU, and/or basal insulin *(Mosenzon et al 2019).*
  - PIONEER 8 (N = 731) assessed the safety and efficacy of 3 doses of Rybelsus compared to placebo for 52 weeks as add-on therapy in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled on insulin with or without metformin *(Zinman et al 2019)*.
- PIONEER 2, 3, 4, and 7 evaluated the glycemic efficacy of Rybelsus compared to other antidiabetic agents *(Pieber et al 2019, Pratley et al 2019, Rodbard et al 2019, Rosenstock et al 2019)*. For HbA1c reduction, Rybelsus was superior to empagliflozin 25 mg and sitagliptin 100 mg, and noninferior to liraglutide 1.8 mg. For body weight reduction, Rybelsus was superior to sitagliptin and liraglutide, but not significantly different from empagliflozin *(Buse et al 2019)*. The incidences of AEs were similar for Rybelsus compared to empagliflozin, sitagliptin, and liraglutide. The hypoglycemia risk was low with Rybelsus, empagliflozin, sitagliptin, and liraglutide. Rates of GI AEs were consistent with the GLP-1RA class and higher than those observed with empagliflozin and sitagliptin *(Buse et al 2019).*
  - PIONEER 2 (N = 822) was a 52-week, Phase 3a, open-label (OL), MC RCT that compared Rybelsus 14 mg (n = 412) to the SGLT2i empagliflozin 25 mg (n = 410) as add-on therapy in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled by metformin *(Rodbard et al 2019).*
  - PIONEER 3 (N = 1864) was a 78-week, Phase 3a, DB, double dummy (DD), parallel-group (PG), MC RCT that compared Rybelsus 3 mg (n = 466), 7 mg (n = 466), or 14 mg (n = 465) to the DPP-4i sitagliptin 100 mg (n = 467) as

add-on therapy in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled by metformin with or without an SU *(Rosenstock et al 2019).*

- PIONEER 4 (N = 711) was a 52-week, Phase 3a, DB, DD, PG, MC RCT that evaluated the effect of Rybelsus 14 mg (n = 285), the injectable GLP-1RA liraglutide 1.8 mg (n = 284), or placebo (n = 142) as add-on therapy in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled by metformin with or without an SGLT2i *(Pratley et al 2019).*
- PIONEER 7 (N = 504) was a 52-week, Phase 3a, OL, MC RCT that compared flexible dose adjustments of daily Rybelsus (n = 253) to a fixed dose of daily sitagliptin 100 mg (n = 251) in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled on stable daily doses of 1 or 2 oral antidiabetic drugs (OADs) *(Pieber et al 2019).*

Cardiovascular (CV) outcomes

- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (REWIND trial; N = 9901) evaluated the long-term effects of dulaglutide vs placebo in patients with T2DM who had either a previous CV event or CV risk factors. A total of 31.5% of patients reported previous CV disease and 22.2% had baseline eGFR < 60 mL/min per 1.73 m^2. The median follow-up was 5.4 years. The primary composite outcome (CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke) occurred 12.0% of patients in the dulaglutide group vs 13.4% in the placebo group (hazard ratio [HR], 0.88; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.79 to 0.99; p = 0.026). All-cause mortality did not differ between groups (10.8% in the dulaglutide group vs 12.0% in the placebo group (HR, 0.90; 95% CI 0.80 to 1.01; p = 0.067). The rates of death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, and hospitalization for heart failure did not differ significantly between groups, while non-fatal MI was statistically significantly different in favor of dulaglutide (*Gerstein et al 2019*).
- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (EXSCEL trial; N = 14,752) was conducted to evaluate the long-term effects of exenatide ER vs placebo, as added to usual care, on CV outcomes in patients with T2DM with or without previous CV disease. A total of 73.1% of patients had previous CV disease, and the median follow-up was 3.2 years. A primary composite outcome event (CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke) occurred in 11.4% of patients in the exenatide ER group vs 12.2% in the placebo group (HR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.00). Thus, exenatide ER was found to be noninferior to placebo with respect to safety (p < 0.001), but not superior to placebo with respect to efficacy (p = 0.06). The risk of death from any cause was 6.9% vs 7.9% in the exenatide ER and placebo groups, respectively (HR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.77 to 0.97); the difference was not statistically significant on the basis of the hierarchical testing plan. The rates of death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and hospitalization for heart failure did not differ significantly between groups (*Holman et al 2017*).
- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (LEADER trial; N = 9340) was conducted to evaluate the long-term effects of liraglutide vs placebo on CV outcomes in patients with T2DM and high CV risk. The median follow-up was 3.8 years. It was found that the primary composite outcome (CV death, non-fatal MI, or non-fatal stroke) occurred in fewer patients in the liraglutide group (13.0%) vs the placebo group (14.9%) (HR, 0.87; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.97; p < 0.001 for noninferiority; p = 0.01 for superiority). Fewer patients died from CV causes in the liraglutide group (4.7%) vs the placebo group (6.0%) (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.93; p = 0.007). The rate of death from any cause was lower in the liraglutide group (8.2%) vs the placebo group (9.6%) (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.74 to 0.97; p = 0.02). The rates of nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and hospitalization for heart failure were nonsignificantly lower in the liraglutide group than in the placebo group (*Marso et al 2016a*).
  - A prespecified secondary analysis found that the composite renal outcome (new-onset persistent macroalbumineria, persistent doubling of serum creatinine level, end-stage renal disease, and death due to renal disease) occurred in fewer patients in the liraglutide group vs the placebo group (5.7% vs 7.2%; HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.92; p = 0.003) (*Mann et al 2017*).
  - Post-hoc analyses of the LEADER trial have reported that the risk reduction in the primary outcome was consistent in patients with CKD (HR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.85), a history of a MI or stroke (HR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.73 to 0.99), and established atherosclerotic CVD (ASCVD) (without a MI/stroke) (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.62 to 0.94) (*Mann et al 2018, Verma et al 2018*).
  - The risk of acute gallbladder or biliary disease was increased with liraglutide vs placebo (HR, 1.60; 95% CI, 1.23 to 2.09) *(Nauck et al 2019)*.
- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (ELIXA trial; N = 6068) evaluated the long-term effects of lixisenatide vs placebo on CV outcomes in patients with T2DM who had a recent acute coronary syndrome (ACS) event within 180 days of screening. The median follow-up was 25 months. It was found that the primary endpoint event (a composite of the first occurrence of any of the following: death from CV causes, non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina) occurred in 13.4% of patients in the lixisenatide group and 13.2% in the placebo group (HR, 1.02; 95% CI, 0.89 to 1.17), which demonstrated noninferiority of lixisenatide to placebo (p < 0.001), but did not demonstrate superiority (p = 0.81).

The rates of the individual CV components of the primary endpoint were similar between the lixisenatide and placebo groups (*Pfeffer et al 2015*).

- *Marso et al 2016b* conducted a MC, DB, PC, RCT (SUSTAIN 6 trial; N = 3297) to assess the noninferiority of semaglutide as compared to placebo in terms of CV safety in patients with T2DM, 83.0% of whom had CV disease. Patients were randomized to semaglutide 0.5 mg or 1.0 mg once weekly or placebo. The median observation time was 2.1 years. The primary composite outcome was the first occurrence of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke. The noninferiority margin was 1.8 for the upper boundary of the 95% CI of the HR.
  - The primary composite outcome occurred in 6.6% of the semaglutide group vs 8.9% of the placebo group (HR: 0.74 [95%CI, 0.58 to 0.95]; p < 0.001 for noninferiority). Although a p value of 0.02 for superiority was calculated; testing for superiority was not prespecified. Nonfatal stroke occurred in 1.6% in the semaglutide group vs 2.7% in the placebo group (HR: 0.61 [95% CI, 0.38 to 0.99]; p = 0.04). Rates of nonfatal MI, CV death, and all-cause death were not statistically significantly different between groups.
  - Rates of new or worsening nephropathy were lower in the semaglutide group, but rates of retinopathy complications were significantly higher (3.0% for semaglutide vs 1.8% for placebo, HR: 1.76 [95% CI, 1.11 to 2.78]; p = 0.02).
- A MC, DB, PC, RCT (Harmony Outcomes trial; N=9463) evaluated the long-term effects of the previously available GLP-1 receptor agonist, albiglutide, vs placebo on CV outcomes in patients with T2DM and established CV disease. The median follow-up was 1.6 years. The primary endpoint (a composite of the first occurrence of any of the following: death from CV causes, MI, or stroke) occurred in 7% of patients in the albiglutide group and 9% in the placebo group (HR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.68 to 0.90), which demonstrated noninferiority and superiority of albiglutide to placebo (p < 0.0001 for noninferiority; p = 0.0006 for superiority). The rate of fatal or non-fatal stroke was significantly improved in the albiglutide group, but other individual CV components of the primary endpoint were nonsignificantly lower in the albiglutide group than in the placebo group (*Hernandez et al 2018*).
- PIONEER 6 (N = 3183) was an event-driven, Phase 3a, DB, PC, MC RCT designed to confirm the CV safety of Rybelsus (n = 1591) vs placebo (n = 1592) as add-on therapy to standard of care in T2DM patients ≥ 50 years of age with established CVD/CKD or ≥ 60 years of age with CV risk factors (CVRFs) *(Husain et al 2019)*. After a median follow-up of 15.9 months (range, 0.4 to 20.0), Rybelsus demonstrated noninferiority to placebo with respect to 3-point MACE. A primary outcome event (CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke) occurred in 3.8% of patients in the Rybelsus group vs 4.8% in the placebo group (hazard ratio [HR], 0.79; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.57 to 1.11; p < 0.001 for noninferiority; p = 0.17 for superiority).
  - The ongoing SOUL CVOT will evaluate > 9000 patients for 3.5 to 5 years to determine whether Rybelsus provides a CV benefit. The estimated study completion date is in 2024 *(ClinicalTrials.gov 2020)*.

Meta-analyses

- Meta-analyses and Cochrane Reviews evaluating GLP-1 receptor agonists have found that they lead to decreases in HbA1c of ~1%, with greater decreases in body weight and systolic blood pressure compared to placebo and other antidiabetic agents (*Wang et al 2013, Shyangdan et al 2011, Sun et al 2015*).
- A systematic review and mixed-treatment comparison analysis of GLP-1 receptor agonists found that there were no differences in efficacy within the short-acting (exenatide or lixisenatide) or long-acting (albiglutide, dulaglutide, exenatide ER, liraglutide) groups. However, dulaglutide, liraglutide, and exenatide ER were superior to exenatide and lixisenatide at lowering HbA1c and FPG. There were no clinically meaningful differences between agents in weight loss or hypoglycemia. Albiglutide had the lowest risk of nausea and diarrhea, while exenatide ER had the lowest risk of vomiting (*Htike et al 2016*).
- A systematic review and network meta-analysis sponsored by the manufacturer of semaglutide (Novo Nordisk) found that in patients with T2DM who were inadequately controlled on 1 to 2 OADs, semaglutide 1.0 mg was associated with significantly greater reductions in HbA1c and weight vs all GLP-1 receptor agonist comparators after 6 months of treatment, while the 0.5 mg dose achieved statistically significant reductions in HbA1c and weight vs the majority of other GLP-1 receptor agonists (*Witkowski et al 2018a*). Similar results were found in another Novo Nordisk-sponsored systematic review of trials in patients previously receiving basal insulin (*Witkowski et al 2018b*).
- Meta-analyses have revealed that incretin-based therapies are not associated with an increased risk of pancreatitis and appear to reduce all-cause mortality, CV mortality, and the incidence of MI compared to placebo or other antidiabetic agents. However, treatment with GLP-1 receptor agonists was associated with a significant increase in the incidence of cholelithiasis (*Monami et al 2017a*, *Monami et al 2017b)*.

- A meta-analysis found that overall, GLP-1 receptor agonists did not appear to be associated with an increase in the incidence of retinopathy, and there was a reduction in the incidence of nephropathy vs comparators (*Dicembrini et al 2017*).
- A meta-analysis found that treatment with exenatide ER did not increase the risk of CV events compared with placebo or active comparators, and may reduce the risk of all-cause mortality *(Bonora et al 2019)*.

Pramlintide

- The safety and efficacy of pramlintide in patients with T1DM have been established in PC, RCTs when administered in addition to existing insulin regimens. In a 52-week, DB, MC, PC study, pramlintide significantly reduced HbA1c from baseline compared to placebo (-0.39% vs -0.12%; p = 0.0071) and was also associated with a significant weight loss compared to placebo (p < 0.001) (*Whitehouse et al 2002*). In a second 52-week study, patients experienced a significant reduction in HbA1c when receiving pramlintide 60 mcg 3 times daily (-0.41 vs -0.18%; p = 0.012) and pramlintide 60 mcg 4 times daily (-0.39 vs -0.18%; p = 0.013) at 26 weeks. Treatment with pramlintide 3 or 4 times daily continued to maintain reductions in HbA1c at 52 weeks compared to treatment with placebo (p = 0.011 and p = 0.001 for the 3- and 4 times daily dosing, respectively) (*Ratner et al 2004*).
- A systematic review and meta-analysis of 10 randomized, PC studies (N = 3297) evaluating the effect of pramlintide as adjunctive therapy to insulin in patients with T1DM found that, compared to placebo, pramlintide resulted in significant reductions in HbA1c (p < 0.001), total daily insulin dose (p = 0.024), mean mealtime insulin dose (p < 0.001), body weight (p < 0.001), and PPG (p = 0.002) (*Qiao et al 2017*).
- A systematic review and meta-analysis of 8 PC, RCTs assessed the effect of pramlintide in patients with T2DM and in obese patients without diabetes. Four T2DM studies (N = 930; 16 to 52 weeks duration) and 4 obesity studies (N = 686; 6 to 24 weeks duration) were included. Of the T2DM studies, 3 studies used meal-time placebo as the comparator while 1 study used rapid-acting insulin as the comparator. When endpoint data from all T2DM studies were combined, pramlintide was associated with a small but significant reduction in HbA1c (mean difference: -0.33% [95% CI, -0.51 to -0.14]; p = 0.0004). In the meta-analysis of the T2DM studies, patients on pramlintide were 1.52 times more likely to reach the HbA1c goal ≤ 7% than patients in the control group; however, this difference was not significant (p = 0.18). Pramlintide was associated with a significant change in body weight in patients with T2DM compared to the control group (-2.57 kg [95% CI, -3.44 to -1.70]; p < 0.00001) (*Singh-Franco et al 2011*).

CLINICAL GUIDELINES

- Professional society guidelines emphasize individualized therapy based upon patient- and drug-specific factors such as comorbidities, weight, hypoglycemia risk, propensity for AEs, drug interactions, and patient preferences *(ADA 2020, Copeland et al 2013, Davies et al 2018, Garber et al 2020)*.
- Metformin is recommended for first-line pharmacologic therapy in treatment-naïve patients with T2DM, unless the patient has contraindications or intolerance. SGLT2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists should be considered for patients with atherosclerotic CV disease (ASCVD), heart failure, or chronic kidney disease, independent of HbA1c. Metformin is considered the drug of choice for children with T2DM *(ADA 2020, Copeland et al 2013, Garber et al 2020)*.

- **ADA: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes – 2020** *(ADA 2020)*
  - Pharmacological therapy for T2DM:
    - Metformin (if not contraindicated and if tolerated) is the preferred initial pharmacological agent for T2DM (level A).
    - Metformin should be continued when used in combination with other agents, including insulin, if not contraindicated and if tolerated (level A).
    - Early combination therapy can be considered in some patients at treatment initiation to extend the time to treatment failure (level A).
    - Early introduction of insulin should be considered if there is evidence of ongoing catabolism (weight loss), if symptoms of hyperglycemia are present, or when HbA1c levels (> 10%) or blood glucose levels (> 300 mg/dL) are very high (level E).
    - A patient-centered approach should be used to guide the choice of pharmacologic therapy. Considerations include comorbidities (ASCVD, HF, CKD), hypoglycemia risk, impact on weight, cost, risk for side effects, and patient preferences (level E).
    - In patients with T2DM and established ASCVD or indicators of high risk, established kidney disease, or HF, SGLT2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists with demonstrated CVD benefit are recommended as part of the antihyperglycemic regimen, independent of HbA1c (level A).

- In patients with T2DM who need greater glucose lowering than can be obtained with oral agents, GLP-1 receptor agonists are preferred to insulin when possible (level B).
- Intensification of treatment for patients with T2DM not meeting treatment goals should not be delayed (level B).
- The medication regimen and medication-taking behavior should be reevaluated at regular intervals (every 3 to 6 months) and adjusted as needed to incorporate specific factors that impact treatment choice (level E).

○ For patients with indicators of high-risk or established ASCVD, CKD, or HF, SGLT2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists with proven benefit should be considered independently of baseline HbA1c or individualized HbA1c target.

- If ASCVD predominates, a GLP-1 receptor agonist with proven CVD benefit is preferred. Alternatively, an SGLT2 inhibitor with proven CVD benefit is recommended if eGFR is adequate.
- If HF or CKD predominates, an SGLT2 inhibitor with evidence of reducing HF and/or CKD in CV outcome trials is preferred if eGFR is adequate. If SGLT2 inhibitors are contraindicated, not tolerated, or if eGFR Is not adequate, a GLP-1 receptor agonist with proven CVD benefit should be added.

Table 3. ADA Factors to Consider for Antihyperglycemic Therapies in T2DM

Class*	Efficacy	Hypoglycemia	Weight	ASCVD	CHF	Route	DKD Progression
Metformin	High	No	Neutral (potential for modest loss)	Potential benefit	Neutral	Oral	Neutral
SGLT2i	Intermediate	No	Loss	Benefit: empagliflozin†, canagliflozin	Benefit: empagliflozin†, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin‡	Oral	Benefit: canagliflozin§, empagliflozin, dapagliflozin
GLP-1ra	High	No	Loss	Benefit: See labeled indication Neutral: lixisenatide	Neutral	SQ, oral	Benefit: liraglutide
DPP-4i	Intermediate	No	Neutral	Neutral	Potential risk: saxagliptin	Oral	Neutral
TZD	High	No	Gain	Potential benefit: pioglitazone	Increased risk	Oral	Neutral
SFU (2nd generation)	High	Yes	Gain	Neutral	Neutral	Oral	Neutral
Insulin	Highest	Yes	Gain	Neutral	Neutral	SQ	Neutral

Abbreviations: ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CHF = congestive heart failure; DKD = diabetic kidney disease; DPP-4i = dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor; GLP-1ra = glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; SFU = sulfonylurea; SGLT2i = sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor; SQ = subcutaneous; T2DM = type 2 diabetes mellitus; TZD = thiazolidinediones

* Other antidiabetic drugs not shown in above table (eg, inhaled insulin, alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (AGIs), colesevelam, bromocriptine, and pramlintide) may be tried in specific situations; however, considerations include modest efficacy in T2DM, frequency of administration, potential for drug interactions, cost, and/or side effects.

† FDA approved for CVD benefit

‡ FDA approved for HF indication

§ FDA approved for CKD indication

- **American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE)/American College of Endocrinology (ACE) - Consensus Statement on the Comprehensive Type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm** *(Garber et al 2020)*

○ The choice of diabetes therapies must be individualized based on attributes specific to both patients and the medications themselves. Medication attributes include antihyperglycemic efficacy, mechanism of action, risk of inducing hypoglycemia, risk of weight gain, other AEs, tolerability, ease of use, likely adherence, cost, and safety or risk reduction in heart, kidney, or liver disease. Patient-specific considerations include initial HbA1c, duration of T2DM, and obesity status.

- The choice of therapy depends on the individual patient's cardiac, cerebrovascular, and renal status.
- Combination therapy is usually required and should involve agents with complementary mechanisms of action.
- The therapeutic regimen should be as simple as possible to optimize adherence.

○ For patients with recent-onset T2DM or mild hyperglycemia (HbA1c < 7.5%), lifestyle therapy plus antihyperglycemic monotherapy (preferably with metformin) is recommended.

- For patients with established or high ASCVD risk, stage 3 CKD, or HF with reduced ejection fraction, an SGLT2 inhibitor or long-acting GLP-1 receptor agonist with proven efficacy is recommended independent of glycemic control.
- Other acceptable alternatives to metformin as initial therapy include DPP-4 inhibitors and TZDs. Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors, SFUs, and meglitinides may also be appropriate as monotherapy for select patients.

○ GLP-1 receptor agonists have robust HbA1c-lowering properties, are usually associated with weight loss, lipid, and blood pressure reductions, and are available in several formulations. The risk of hypoglycemia with GLP-1 receptor agonists is low, and they reduce fluctuations in both fasting and postprandial glucose levels by stimulating glucose-dependent insulin secretion and suppressing glucagon secretion.

- In the LEADER trial, liraglutide significantly reduced the risk of nephropathy and of death from certain CV causes.
- Data from the SUSTAIN 6, REWIND and HARMONY trials with injectable semaglutide, dulaglutide, and albiglutide, respectively, suggest other GLP1 receptor agonists also have cardiovascular disease benefits.
- GLP-1 receptor agonists based on exendin-4 have been proven to be safe in cardiovascular disease, but they have not been shown to confer cardiovascular benefits.
- No studies have confirmed that incretin agents cause pancreatitis; however, GLP-1 receptor agonists should be used cautiously, if at all, in patients with a history of pancreatitis and discontinued if pancreatitis develops.

Table 4. AACE/ACE Profiles of Antidiabetic Medications

Drug Class	Hypoglycemia	Weight	Renal/GU	GI	Cardiac	Bone	Ketoacidosis
Metformin	Neutral	Slight loss	eGFR < 30: contraindicated	Moderate	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
GLP-1ra	Neutral	Loss	Possible benefit: long-acting GLP-1ra Exenatide not indicated CrCl < 30	Moderate	Potential benefit of long-acting GLP-1ra in ASCVD Neutral for HF	Neutral	Neutral
SGLT2i	Neutral	Loss	Genital mycotic infections Not indicated eGFR < 45* Potential CKD benefit*	Neutral	Prevent HHF; Manage HFrEF† Empagliflozin FDA approved to reduce CV mortality Canagliflozin FDA approved to reduce MACE	Neutral	DKA can occur in various stress settings
DPP-4i	Neutral	Neutral	Dose adjustment necessary (except linagliptin) Albuminuria reduction	Neutral	Possible increased HHF with alogliptin and saxagliptin	Neutral	Neutral
AGI	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Moderate	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral
TZD	Neutral	Gain	Neutral	Neutral	Moderate CHF risk May reduce stroke risk	Moderate fracture risk	Neutral
SFU	Moderate/severe	Gain	More hypoglycemia risk	Neutral	Possible ASCVD risk Neutral for HF	Neutral	Neutral
Meglitinide	Mild	Gain	More hypoglycemia risk	Neutral	Possible ASCVD risk	Neutral	Neutral
Colesevelam	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Mild	Lowers LDL-C	Neutral	Neutral
Bromocriptine QR	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Moderate	Safe in ASCVD	Neutral	Neutral
Insulin	Moderate to severe	Gain	More hypoglycemia risk	Neutral	CHF risk Neutral for ASCVD	Neutral	Neutral
Pramlintide	Neutral	Loss	Neutral	Moderate	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral

Abbreviations: AGI = alpha-glucosidase inhibitor; ASCVD = atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CHF = congestive heart failure; CKD = chronic kidney disease; CrCl = creatinine clearance; CV = cardiovascular; DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis; DPP-4i = dipeptidyl peptidase 4 inhibitor; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; FDA = Food and Drug Administration; GI = gastrointestinal; GLP-1ra = glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; GU = genitourinary; HFrEF = heart failure reduced ejection fraction; HHF = hospitalization for heart failure; LDL-C = low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events; QR = quick release; SFU = sulfonylurea; SGLT2i = sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor; TZD = thiazolidinedione

* Canagliflozin indicated for eGFR ≥ 30 mL/min/1.73 m^2 in patients with CKD 3 and albuminuria.

† Dapagliflozin has a potential benefit in primary prevention of HHF and demonstrated efficacy in HFrEF.

- **Endocrine Society: Guideline for Treatment of Diabetes in Older Adults** (*LeRoith et al 2019*)
  - Glycemic management strategies must be adjusted to the individual needs of older patients. Specific factors regarding certain drug classes are particularly important for older patients with diabetes, especially those with CKD and heart disease.
    - In T2DM patients ≥ 65 years of age, metformin is recommended as the initial oral medication chosen for glycemic management in addition to lifestyle management (unless the patient has significantly impaired kidney function or gastrointestinal intolerance).
    - Patients who are not able to achieve glycemic targets with metformin and lifestyle changes can receive add-on therapy with oral or injectable agents and/or insulin.
      - GLP-1 receptor agonists and SGLT2 inhibitors should be prescribed early, given their beneficial CV outcomes.
      - SFUs and meglitinides should be avoided and insulin should be used sparingly to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia.
      - Glycemic treatment regimens should be kept as simple as possible.
  - GLP-1 receptor agonists increase insulin release, decrease glucagon secretion, delay gastric emptying, suppress appetite, and do not cause hypoglycemia. Nausea is a common side effect, and initial concern about an increased risk for pancreatitis has not been proven. Liraglutide and semaglutide have been found to improve cardiovascular outcomes.

- **American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA): Guideline on the Primary Prevention of CV Disease** *(Arnett et al 2019)*
  - For adults with T2DM, it is reasonable to initiate metformin as first-line therapy along with lifestyle therapies at the time of diagnosis to improve glycemic control and reduce ASCVD risk.
  - For adults with T2DM and additional ASCVD risk factors who require glucose lowering therapy despite initial lifestyle modifications and metformin, it may be reasonable to initiate an SGLT2 inhibitor or GLP-1 receptor agonist to improve glycemic control and reduce CVD risk.
    - SGLT2i act in the proximal tubule to increase urinary excretion of glucose and sodium, leading to a reduction in HbA1c, body weight, and blood pressure. Three RCTs have shown a significant reduction in ASCVD events and HF with use of an SGLT2i. Although most patients studied had established CVD at baseline, the reduction in HF has been shown to extend to primary prevention populations.
    - The GLP-1RAs increase insulin and glucagon production in the liver, increase glucose uptake in muscle and adipose tissue, and decrease hepatic glucose production. Three GLP-1RAs have been found to significantly reduce the risk of ASCVD in adults with T2DM who are at high ASCVD risk.

SAFETY SUMMARY

- GLP-1 receptor agonists are contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to any component of the products. With the exception of exenatide and lixisenatide, they are also contraindicated in those with a personal or family history of medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) or multiple endocrine neoplasia syndrome, type 2 (MEN 2).
- All GLP-1 receptor agonists, except exenatide and lixisenatide, carry a boxed warning for risk of thyroid C-cell tumors. Other safety risks include pancreatitis (including fatal and non-fatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis), serious hypersensitivity reactions, immunogenicity, serious hypoglycemia when used in combination with SFUs or insulin, and renal impairment. Liraglutide and exenatide ER have a warning for acute gallbladder disease. Semaglutide carries a warning for diabetic retinopathy complications due to the results of the SUSTAIN 6 trial, which found a higher rate of events in patients treated with semaglutide vs placebo; the absolute risk was larger among patients with a history of diabetic retinopathy at baseline compared to those without. Common AEs with these drugs include: nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, headache, and injection site reactions.
- Pramlintide is contraindicated in patients with hypersensitivity to any component of the drug and in those with hypoglycemia unawareness and confirmed gastroparesis. It has a boxed warning for increased risk of hypoglycemia, particularly in patients with T1DM. Common AEs include nausea, headache, anorexia, and vomiting; the incidence of nausea tends to be higher at the beginning of treatment and decreases with time in most patients. Gradual titration of the dose minimizes the incidence and severity of nausea.
- Pramlintide is Pregnancy Category C. Dulaglutide, exenatide, exenatide ER, liraglutide, semaglutide, and lixisenatide are uncategorized in accordance with the FDA's Pregnancy and Lactation Labeling Rule (PLLR).

- There are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women. These drugs should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus. It is not known whether these drugs are excreted in human milk.

DOSING AND ADMINISTRATION

Table 5. Dosing and Administration

Drug	Available Formulations	Route	Usual Recommended Frequency	Comments
Adlyxin (lixisenatide)	Injection	SC	Once daily	Inject in the abdomen, thigh, or upper arm. Administer within 1 hour before the first meal of the day, preferably the same meal each day.
Bydureon (exenatide ER)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm. May be given any time of day, with or without food. Administer immediately after the powder is suspended.
Bydureon BCise (exenatide ER)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm. May be given any time of day, with or without food. Administer immediately after the autoinjector is prepared.
Byetta (exenatide)	Injection	SC	Twice daily	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm. Inject within 60 minutes prior to the morning and evening meals (or before the 2 main meals of the day, approximately 6 hours or more apart).
Ozempic (semaglutide)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm. May be given any time of day, with or without food.
Rybelsus (semaglutide)	Tablets	Oral	Once Daily	Must be taken at least 30 minutes before the first food, beverage or other oral medications of the day with no more than 4 ounces of plain water only. Swallow whole. Do not crush or chew tablets
Symlin (pramlintide)	Injection	SC	Prior to major meals	Inject in the thigh or abdomen. Administer immediately prior to each major meal. Reduce mealtime insulin doses by 50%. Adjust insulin doses to optimize glycemic control once the target dose of pramlintide is achieved and nausea (if experienced) has subsided. The dose should be decreased if significant nausea persists.
Trulicity (dulaglutide)	Injection	SC	Once weekly	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm. May be given any time of day, with or without food.
Victoza (liraglutide)	Injection	SC	Once daily	Inject in the thigh, abdomen, or upper arm. May be given any time of day, with or without food.

CONCLUSION

- The GLP-1 receptor agonists exenatide, exenatide ER, dulaglutide, lixisenatide, and semaglutide are incretin-based antidiabetic therapies that are FDA-approved as adjunctive therapy to diet and exercise in adult patients with T2DM; liraglutide is approved for patients 10 years and older. Additionally, liraglutide, dulaglutide, and subcutaneous semaglutide are indicated to reduce the risk of major adverse CV events in patients with established CV disease. Pramlintide is the only agent within the amylinomimetic medication class and is FDA-approved as adjunctive therapy in patients with T1DM or T2DM who use mealtime insulin therapy and who have failed to achieve desired glucose control despite optimal insulin therapy.
- The incretin mimetics are available as SC injections to be administered in the abdomen, thigh, or upper arm. Semaglutide is additionally available in an oral formulation. Exenatide is administered twice daily (60 minutes prior to meals); liraglutide is administered once daily (independent of meals); and lixisenatide is administered once daily (1 hour prior to the first meal of the day). Exenatide ER, dulaglutide, and semaglutide are administered once weekly. Pramlintide is available as a SC injection to be administered immediately prior to each major meal.
- The incretin mimetics have been studied extensively in combination with, and in comparison to, a variety of antidiabetic therapies. The agents are significantly more effective than placebo in reducing HbA1c, FPG, PPG, and body weight. Efficacy data comparing treatment to an SFU, TZD, DPP-4 inhibitor or insulin is mixed, with the GLP-1 agonists achieving significantly greater or comparable benefits in glycemic outcomes.
- Several CV outcomes trials evaluating GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with T2DM and high CV risk have been published. The LEADER, Harmony Outcomes, REWIND, and SUSTAIN 6 trials demonstrated a statistically significant CV risk reduction with liraglutide, albiglutide, dulaglutide, and subcutaneous semaglutide, respectively, vs placebo (*Gerstein et al 2019, Hernandez et al 2018, Marso et al 2016a, Marso et al 2016b*). The ELIXA, EXSCEL, and POINEER 6 CV outcome trials did not demonstrate statistically significant reductions in MACE with lixisenatide, exenatide ER, or oral semaglutide, respectively, vs placebo (*Holman et al 2017, Husain et al 2019, Pfeffer et al 2015*).
- Overall, the AE profiles of the GLP-1 receptor agonists are similar. With the exception of lixisenatide and exenatide, all of the agents have a boxed warning regarding the risk of thyroid C-cell tumors. Other warnings include increased risks of pancreatitis (including fatal and non-fatal hemorrhagic or necrotizing pancreatitis), serious hypersensitivity reactions, immunogenicity, serious hypoglycemia when used in combination with SFUs or insulin, and renal impairment. Liraglutide and exenatide ER also have a warning for acute gallbladder disease, while semaglutide has a warning for diabetic retinopathy complications.
- The 2020 ADA and AACE/ACE guidelines recommend metformin for first-line pharmacologic therapy in treatment-naïve patients with T2DM. SGLT2 inhibitors or GLP-1 receptor agonists should be considered for patients with atherosclerotic CV disease (ASCVD), heart failure, or chronic kidney disease, independent of HbA1c *(ADA 2020, Garber et al 2020)*.
- Current clinical guidelines do not support the use of amylinomimetics in the management of T2DM. Among T1DM patients, there is limited evidence available to support the routine use of adjunctive therapies, including pramlintide, to insulin therapy (*ADA 2020, Garber et al 2020*).

REFERENCES

- Adlyxin [package insert], Bridgewater, NJ: Sanofi-Aventis; January 2019.
- Ahmann AJ, Capehorn M, Charpentier G et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus exenatide ER in subjects with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 3): A 56-week, open-label, randomized clinical trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2018;41(2):258-266.
- Ahrén B, Masmiquel L, Kumar H, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus once-daily sitagliptin as an add-on to metformin, thiazolidinediones, or both, in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 2): a 56-week, double-blind, phase 3a, randomized trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5(5):341-354.
- American Diabetes Association. Diabetes basics. ADA Web Site. http://www.diabetes.org/diabetes-basics. Accessed February 26, 2020.
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes−2020. *Diabetes Care.* 2020;43(Suppl 1):S1-S212. https://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/43/Supplement_1. Accessed February 26, 2020.
- Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA guideline on the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease. A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2109;74(10):1376-1414. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.03.009.
- Aroda VR, Bain SC, Cariou B, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide versus once-daily insulin glargine as add-on to metformin (with or without sulfonylureas) in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 4): a randomized, open-label, parallel-group, multicenter, multinational, phase 3a trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5(5):355-366.
- Aroda VR, Rosenstock J, Terauchi Y, et al; PIONEER 1 Investigators. PIONEER 1: Randomized clinical trial comparing the efficacy and safety of oral semaglutide monotherapy with placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2019 Jun 11. doi: 10.2337/dc19-0749.
- Bergenstal RM, Li Y, Porter TKB, et al. Exenatide once weekly improved glycaemic control, cardiometabolic risk factors and a composite index of an HbA1c < 7%, without weight gain or hypoglycaemia, over 52 weeks. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013;15(3): 264-271.
- Bergenstal RM, Wysham C, MacConell L, et al. Efficacy and safety of exenatide once weekly vs sitagliptin or pioglitazone as an adjunct to metformin for treatment of type 2 diabetes (DURATION-2): a randomized trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376:431-439.

- Blevins T, Pullman J, Malloy J, et al. DURATION-5: exenatide once weekly resulted in greater improvements in glycemic control compared to exenatide twice daily in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011;96:1301-1310.
- Blonde L, Jendle J, Gross J, et al. Once-weekly dulaglutide versus bedtime insulin glargine, both in combination with prandial insulin lispro, in patients with type 2 diabetes (AWARD-4): a randomised, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority study. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9982):2057-2066.
- Blonde L, Klein EJ, Han J, et al. Interim analysis of the effects of exenatide treatment on A1C, weight, and cardiovascular risk factors over 82 weeks in 314 overweight patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2006;8(4):436-447.
- Bolli GB, Munteanu M, Dotsenko S, et al. Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide once daily vs placebo in people with Type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on metformin (GetGoal-F1). *Diabet Med*. 2014;31(2):176-184.
- Bonora BM, Avogaro A, Fadini GP. Effects of exenatide long-acting release on cardiovascular events and mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Acta Diabetol*. 2019;56(9):1051-1060. doi: 10.1007/s00592-019-01347-0.
- Broglio F, Mannucci E, Napoli R, et al. Beneficial effect of lixisenatide after 76 weeks of treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis from the GetGoal programme. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2017;19(2):248-256.
- Bunck MC, Corner A, Eliasson B, et al. One-year treatment with exenatide vs insulin glargine: effects on postprandial glycemia, lipid profiles, and oxidative stress. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010;212(1):223-229.
- Bunck MC, Diamant M, Corner A, et al. One-year treatment with exenatide improves β-cell function, compared to insulin glargine, in metformin-treated type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32:762-768.
- Buse JB, Aroda VR, Pratley RE, et al. Oral semaglutide: The PIONEER program trials. Oral presentation at: American Diabetes Association 79th Scientific Sessions; June 11, 2019; San Francisco, CA.
- Buse JB, Henry RR, Han J, et al. Exenatide-113 Clinical Study Group. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in sulfonylurea-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(11):2628-2635.
- Buse JB, Klonoff DC, Nielsen LL, et al. Metabolic effects of 2 years of exenatide treatment on diabetes, obesity, and hepatic biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes: an interim analysis of data from the open-label, uncontrolled extension of 3 double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. *Clin Ther*. 2007;29(1):139-153.
- Buse JB, Nauck M, Forst T, et al. Exenatide once weekly versus liraglutide once daily in patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-6): a randomized, open-label study. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9861):117-124.
- Buse JB, Rosenstock J, Sesti G, et al. Liraglutide once a day vs exenatide twice a day for type 2 diabetes: a 26-week randomized, parallel-group, multinational, open-label trial (LEAD-6). *Lancet*. 2009;374:39-47.
- Buse JB, Sesti G, Schmidt WE, et al. Switching to once-daily liraglutide from twice-daily exenatide further improves glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes using oral agents. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1,300-303.
- Bydureon [package insert], Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; February 2019.
- Bydureon BCise [package insert]. Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; July 2019.
- Byetta [package insert], Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; December 2018.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National Diabetes Statistics Report; 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/pdfs/data/statistics/national-diabetes-statistics-report.pdf. Accessed February 25, 2020 .
- Chiang JL, Maahs DM, Garvey KC, et al. Type 1 Diabetes in Children and Adolescents: A Position Statement by the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(9):2026-2044. doi: 10.2337/dci18-0023.
- ClinicalTrials.gov Web site. https://clinicaltrials.gov. Accessed February 25, 2020.
- Coppock KC. Liraglutide patent litigation case settled. Pharmacy Times website. https://www.pharmacytimes.com/resource-centers/diabetes/liraglutide-patent-litigation-case-settled. Accessed February 25, 2020.
- Das SR, Everett BM, Birtcher KK, et al. 2018 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on Novel Therapies for Cardiovascular Risk Reduction in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2018;72(24):3200-3223. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.09.020.
- Davies MJ, D'Alessio DA, Fradkin J, et al. Management of Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes, 2018. A Consensus Report by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care*. 2018;41(12):2669-2701. doi: 10.2337/dci18-0033.
- Davies MJ, Donnelly R, Barnett AH, et al. Exenatide compared to long-acting insulin to achieve glycemic control with minimal weight gain in patients with type 2 diabetes: results of the Helping Evaluate Exenatide in patients with diabetes compared to Long-Acting insulin (HEELA) study. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2009;11(12):1153-1162.
- DeFronzo RA, Ratner RE, Han J, et al. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control and weight over 30 weeks in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28(5):1092-1100.
- Derosa G, Maffioli P, Salvadeo SAT, et al. Exenatide vs glibenclamide in patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2010;12(3):233-240.
- Diamant M, Van Gaal L, Stranks S, et al. Once weekly exenatide compared to insulin glargine titrated to target in patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-3): an open-label randomized trial. *Lancet*. 2010;375:2234-2243.
- Dicembrini I, Nreu B, Scatena A, et al. Microvascular effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Acta Diabetol*. 2017;54(10):933-941. doi: 10.1007/s00592-017-1031-9.
- Drucker D, Buse JB, Taylor K, et al. Exenatide once weekly vs twice daily for the treatment of type 2 diabetes: a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority study. *Lancet*. 2008;372:1240-1250.
- DRUGS@FDA.com [database on the internet]. Rockville (MD): U.S. Food and Drug Administration. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/drugsatfda/index.cfm. Accessed Februrary 26, 2020
- Dungan KM, Povedano ST, Forst T, et al. Once-weekly dulaglutide in metformin-treated patients with Type 2 diabetes (AWARD-6): a randomized, open-label, phase 3, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*. 2014;384(9951):1349-1357.
- FDA Drug Approval Package for Tanzeum (albiglutide) injection. Approval Letter. Food and Drug Administration Web site. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2014/125431Orig1s000TOC.cfm. Accessed February 26,2020
- Fonseca VA, Alvarado-Ruiz R, Raccah D, et al; for the EFC6018 GetGoal-Mono Study Investigators. Efficacy and safety of the once-daily GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide in monotherapy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in patients with type 2 diabetes (GetGoal-Mono). *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35(6):1225-1231.

- Gadde KM, Vetter ML, Iqbal N, Hardy E, Öhman P; DURATION-NEO-2 study investigators. Efficacy and safety of autoinjected exenatide once-weekly suspension versus sitagliptin or placebo with metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: The DURATION-NEO-2 randomized clinical study. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2017;19(7):979-988.
- Gallwitz B, Guzman J, Dotta F, et al. Exenatide twice daily versus glimepiride for prevention of glycemic deterioration in patients with type 2 diabetes with metformin failure (EUREXA): an open-label randomized controlled trial. *Lance*t.2012; 379:2270-2278
- Garber A, Henry R, Ratner R, et al. Liraglutide vs glimepiride monotherapy for type 2 diabetes (LEAD-3 Mono): a randomized, 52-weeks, phase III, double-blind, parallel-treatment trial. *Lancet*. 2009;373:473-481.
- Garber A, Henry RR, Ratner R, et al. Liraglutide, a once-daily human glucagon-like peptide 1 analogue, provides sustained improvements in glycemic control and weight for 2 years as monotherapy compared to glimepiride in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2011;13(4):348-356.
- Garber AJ, Handelsman Y, Grunberger G, et al. Consensus Statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the Comprehensive Type 2 Diabetes Management Algorithm - 2020 Executive Summary. *Endocr Pract.* 2020;26(1):107-139.
- Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR,e t al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2019;394(10193):121-130. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31149-3.
- Giorgino F, Benroubi M, Sun JH, Zimmermann AG, Pechtner V. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly dulaglutide versus insulin glargine in patients with type 2 diabetes on metformin and glimepiride (AWARD-2). *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38(12):2241-2249.
- Heine RJ, Van Gaal LF, Johns D, et al; GWAA Study Group. Exenatide vs insulin glargine in patients with suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2005;143(8):559-569.
- Hernandez AF, Green JB, Janmohamed S, et al. Albiglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease (Harmony Outcomes): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10157):1519-1529. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32261-X.
- Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, et al; EXSCEL Study Group. Effects of once-weekly exenatide on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(13):1228-1239. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1612917.
- Htike ZZ, Zaccardi F, Papamargaritis D, Webb DR, Khunti K, Davies MJ. Efficacy and Safety of Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists in type 2 diabetes: Systematic review and mixed-treatment comparison analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2017;19(4):524-536.
- Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, et al; PIONEER 6 Investigators. Oral semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2019 Aug 29;381(9):841-851. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1901118.
- Inagaki N, Atsumi Y, Oura T, et al. Efficacy and safety profile of exenatide once weekly compared with insulin once daily in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with oral antidiabetes drug(s): results from a 26-week, randomized, open-label, parallel-group, multicenter, noninferiority study. *Clin Ther*. 2012;34(9):1892-1908.
- Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al. Management of Hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes, 2015: A Patient-Centered Approach: Update to a Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care.* 2015;38(1):140-149.
- Kendall DM, Riddle MC, Rosenstock J, et al. Effects of exenatide (exendin-4) on glycemic control over 30 weeks in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin and a sulfonylurea. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28(5):1083-1091.
- Klonoff DC, Buse JB, Nielsen LL, et al. Exenatide effects on diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular risk factors and hepatic biomarkers in patients with type 2 diabetes treated for at least 3 years. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2008;24(1):275-286.
- LeRoith D, Biessels GJ, Braithwaite SS, et al. Treatment of diabetes in older adults: An Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2019;104(5):1520-1574. doi: 10.1210/jc.2019-00198.
- Mann JFE, Fonseca V, Mosenzon O, et al. Effects of liraglutide versus placebo on cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease. *Circulation.* 2018;138(25):2908-2918. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.036418.
- Mann JFE, Ørsted DD, Brown-Frandsen K, et al; LEADER Steering Committee and Investigators. Liraglutide and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(9):839-848.
- Marre M, Shaw J, Brandle M, et al. Liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analogue, added to a sulphonylurea over 26 weeks produces greater improvements in glycemic and weight control compared to adding rosiglitazone or placebo in subjects with Type 2 diabetes (LEAD-1 SU). *Diabet Med*. 2009;26:268-278.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al; for the SUSTAIN-6 Investigators. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2016b;375(19):1834-1844.
- Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al; for the SUSTAIN-6 Investigators. Semaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016b; 375(19):1834-1844.
- Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al; LEADER Steering Committee; LEADER Trial Investigators. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016a;375(4):311-322.
- Monami M, Nreu B, Scatena A, et al. Safety issues with Glucagon-Like peptide-1 receptor agonists: Pancreatitis, pancreatic cancer, and cholelithiasis. data from randomised controlled trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2017a;19(9):1233-1241.
- Monami M, Zannoni S, Pala L, et al. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists on mortality and cardiovascular events: A comprehensive meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Int J Cardiol*. 2017b;240:414-421.
- Mosenzon O, Blicher TM, Rosenlund S, et al; PIONEER 5 Investigators. Efficacy and safety of oral semaglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes and moderate renal impairment (PIONEER 5): a placebo-controlled, randomised, phase 3a trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2019 Jul;7(7):515-527. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30192-5.
- Nauck M, Frid A, Hermansen K, et al. Efficacy and safety comparison of liraglutide glimepiride, and placebo, all in combination with metformin, in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32:84-90.
- Nauck M, Frid A, Hermansen K, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety comparison of liraglutide, glimepiride and placebo, all in combination with metformin in type 2 diabetes: 2-year results from the LEAD-2 study. *Diabetes Obes and Metab*. 2013;15(3):204–212.
- Nauck M, Weinstock RS, Umpierrez GE, et al. Efficacy and safety of dulaglutide versus sitagliptin after 52 weeks in Type 2 diabetes in a randomized controlled trial (AWARD-5). *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37:2149-2158.
- Nauck MA, Duran S, Kim D, et al. A comparison of twice-daily exenatide and biphasic insulin aspart in patients with type 2 diabetes who were suboptimally controlled with sulfonylurea and metformin: a non-inferiority study. *Diabetologia*. 2007;50(2):259-267.

- Nauck MA, Muus Ghorbani ML, Kreiner E, Saevereid HA, Buse JB. Effects of liraglutide compared with placebo on events of acute gallbladder or biliary disease in patients with type 2 diabetes at high risk for cardiovascular events in the LEADER randomized trial [published online ahead of print August 9, 2019]. *Diabetes Care.* doi: 10.2337/dc19-0415.
- Novo Nordisk [medical information]. Oral semaglutide medical information response. June 28, 2019.
- Novo Nordisk [news release]. Novo Nordisk files for US FDA approval of oral semaglutide for blood sugar control and cardiovascular risk reduction in adults with type 2 diabetes. https://www.novonordisk-us.com/media/news-releases.html?122958. March 20, 2019. Accessed February 26, 2020
- Orange Book: Approved drug products with therapeutic equivalence evaluations. Food and Drug Administration Web site. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm. Accessed February 26, 2020
- Ozempic [package insert], Plainsboro, NJ: Novo Nordisk Inc; January 2020
- Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al; ELIXA Investigators. Lixisenatide in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Acute Coronary Syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(23):2247-2257.
- Philis-Tsimikas A, Wysham CH, Hardy E, Han J, Iqbal N. Efficacy and tolerability of exenatide once weekly over 7 years in patients with type 2 diabetes: An open-label extension of the DURATION-1 study. *J Diabetes Complications*. 2018 Dec 5. [Epub ahead of print] doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2018.11.012.
- Pieber TR, Bode B, Mertens A, et al; PIONEER 7 Investigators. Efficacy and safety of oral semaglutide with flexible dose adjustment versus sitagliptin in type 2 diabetes (PIONEER 7): a multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3a trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2019 Jul;7(7):528-539. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30194-9.
- Pinget M, Goldenberg R, Niemoeller E, Muehlen-Bartmer I, Guo H, Aronson R. Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide once daily versus placebo in type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on pioglitazone (GetGoal-P). *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013;15(11):1000-1007.
- Pratley R, Amod A, Hoff ST, et al; PIONEER 4 Investigators. Oral semaglutide versus subcutaneous liraglutide and placebo in type 2 diabetes (PIONEER 4): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3a trial. *Lancet*. 2019 Jun 7. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31271-1.
- Pratley RE, Aroda VR, Lingvay I, et al. Semaglutide versus dulaglutide once weekly in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 7): a randomized, open-label, phase 3b trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2018;6(4):275-286.
- Qiao YC, Ling W, Pan YH, et al. Efficacy and safety of pramlintide injection adjunct to insulin therapy in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget*. 2017 Mar 8. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.16008.
- Ratner RE, Dickey R, Fineman M, et al. Amylin replacement with pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy improves long-term glycemic and weight control in Type 1 diabetes mellitus: a 1-year, randomized controlled trial. *Diabet Med*. 2004;21(11):1204-1212.
- Ratner RE, Maggs D, Nielson LL, et al. Long-term effects of exenatide therapy over 82 weeks on glycemic control and weight in over-weight metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2006;8(4):419-428.
- Riddle MC, Aronson R, Home P, et al. Adding once-daily lixisenatide for type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by established basal insulin: a 24-week, randomized, placebo-controlled comparison (GetGoal-L). *Diabetes Care*. 2013b;36(9):2489-2496.
- Riddle MC, Forst T, Aronson R, et al. Adding once-daily lixisenatide for type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled with newly initiated and continuously titrated basal insulin glargine: a 24-week, randomized, placebo-controlled study (GetGoal-Duo 1). *Diabetes Care*. 2013a;36(9):2497-2503.
- Riddle MC, Henry RR, Poon TH, et al. Exenatide elicits sustained glycemic control and progressive reduction of body weight in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by sulfonylureas with or without metformin. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2006;22:483-491.
- Rodbard HW, Lingvay I, Reed J, et al. Semaglutide added to basal insulin in type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 5): A randomized, controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;103(6):2291-2301.
- Rodbard HW, Rosenstock J, Canani LH, et al; PIONEER 2 Investigators. Oral semaglutide versus empagliflozin in patients with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled on metformin: The PIONEER 2 Trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2019 Sep 17. doi: 10.2337/dc19-0883. [Epub ahead of print]
- Rosenstock J, Allison D, Birkenfeld AL, et al; PIONEER 3 Investigators. Effect of additional oral semaglutide vs sitagliptin on glycated hemoglobin in adults with type 2 diabetes uncontrolled with metformin alone or with sulfonylurea: the PIONEER 3 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2019 Mar 23. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.2942.
- Rosenstock J, Guerci B, Hanefeld M, et al.; GetGoal Duo-2 Trial Investigators. Prandial options to advance basal Insulin glargine therapy: testing lixisenatide plus basal insulin versus insulin glulisine either as basal-plus or basal-bolus in type 2 diabetes: The GetGoal Duo-2 trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2016;39(8):1318-1328.
- Rosenstock J, Hanefeld M, Shamanna P, et al. Beneficial effects of once-daily lixisenatide on overall and postprandial glycemic levels without significant excess of hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on a sulfonylurea with or without metformin (GetGoal-S). *J Diabetes Complications*. 2014;28(3):386-392.
- Rosenstock J, Raccah D, Korányi L, Maffei L, Boka G, Miossec P, Gerich JE. Efficacy and safety of lixisenatide once daily versus exenatide twice daily in type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled on metformin: a 24-week, randomized, open-label, active-controlled study (GetGoal-X). *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(10):2945-2951.
- Russell-Jones D, Cuddihy RM, Hanefeld M, et al. Efficacy and safety of exenatide once weekly vs metformin, pioglitazone, and sitagliptin used as monotherapy in drug-naive patients with type 2 diabetes (DURATION-4). *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35:252-258.
- Russell-Jones D, Vaag A, Schmitz O, et al. Liraglutide vs insulin glargine and placebo in combination with metformin and sulfonylurea therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus (LEAD-5 met+SU): a randomized controlled trial. *Diabetologia*. 2009;52:2046-2055.
- Rybelsus [package insert], Plainsboro, NJ: Novo Nordisk Inc; January 2020
- Secnik Boye K, Matza LS, Oglesby A, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in a trial of exenatide and insulin glargine for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2006;4:80.
- Seino Y, Min KW, Niemoeller E, Takami A; EFC10887 GETGOAL-L Asia Study Investigators. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the once-daily GLP-1 receptor agonist lixisenatide in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes insufficiently controlled on basal insulin with or without a sulfonylurea (GetGoal-L-Asia). *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2012;14(10):910-917.
- Shyangdan DS, Royle P, Clar C, et al. Glucagon-like peptide analogues for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD006423. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006423.pub2.
- Singh-Franco D, Perez A, Harrington C. The effect of pramlintide acetate on glycemic control and weight in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and in obese patients without diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2011;13(2):169-180.

- Sorli C, Harashima SI, Tsoukas GM, et al. Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide monotherapy versus placebo in patients with type 2 diabetes (SUSTAIN 1): a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, multinational, multicentre phase 3a trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017 Apr;5(4):251-260. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(17)30013-X.
- Sun F, Chai S, Li L, et al. Effects of glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists on weight loss in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Diabetes Res*. 2015;2015:157201.
- Symlin [package insert], Wilmington, DE: AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals; December 2019
- Tamborlane WV, Barrientos-Perez M, Fainberg U, et al. Liraglutide in children and adolescents with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(7):637-646. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1903822.
- Trulicity [package insert], Indianapolis, IN: Eli Lilly and Company; September 2019.
- Tuttle KR, Lakshmanan MC, Rayner B, et al. Dulaglutide versus insulin glargine in patients with type 2 diabetes and moderate-to-severe chronic kidney disease (AWARD-7): a multicentre, open-label, randomised trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2018;6(8):605-617. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(18)30104-9.
- Umpierrez G, Povedano ST, Manghi FP, et al. Efficacy and safety of dulaglutide monotherapy versus metformin in a randomized controlled trial (AWARD-3). *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37:2168-2176.
- Verma S, Poulter NR, Bhatt DL, et al. Effects of liraglutide on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with or without history of myocardial infarction or stroke. *Circulation*. 2018;138(25):2884-2894. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.034516.
- Victoza [package insert], Princeton, NJ: Novo Nordisk Inc.; June 2019.
- Wang B, Zhong J, Lin H, et al. Blood pressure-lowering effects of GLP-1 receptor agonists exenatide and liraglutide: a meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2013;15(8):737-749.
- Weinstock RS, Guerci B, Umpierrez G, et al. Safety and efficacy of once-weekly dulaglutide versus sitagliptin after 2 years in metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes (AWARD-5): a randomized, phase III study. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2015;17:849-858.
- Whitehouse F, Kruger DF, Fineman M, et al. A randomized study and open-label extension evaluating the long-term efficacy of pramlintide as an adjunct to insulin therapy in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25(4):724-730.
- Witkowski M, Wilkinson L, Webb N, Weids A, Glah D, Vrazic H. A systematic literature review and network meta-analysis comparing once-weekly semaglutide with other GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes previously receiving 1-2 oral anti-diabetic drugs. *Diabetes Ther*. 2018a;9(3):1149-1167.
- Witkowski M, Wilkinson L, Webb N, Weids A, Glah D, Vrazic H. A systematic literature review and network meta-analysis comparing once-weekly semaglutide with other GLP-1 receptor agonists in patients with type 2 diabetes previously receiving basal insulin. *Diabetes Ther*. 2018b;9(3):1233-1251.
- Wysham C, Blevins T, Arakaki R, et al. Efficacy and safety of dulaglutide added onto pioglitazone and metformin versus exenatide in Type 2 diabetes in a randomized controlled trial (AWARD-1). *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37:2159-2167.
- Wysham CH, Rosenstock J, Vetter ML, Dong F, Öhman P, Iqbal N. Efficacy and tolerability of the new autoinjected suspension of exenatide once weekly versus exenatide twice daily in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2017 Jul 7. doi: 10.1111/dom.13056. [Epub ahead of print]
- Yu Pan C, Han P, Liu X, et al. Lixisenatide treatment improves glycaemic control in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on metformin with or without sulfonylurea: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, 24-week trial (GetGoal-M-Asia). *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2014;30(8):726-735.
- Zinman B, Aroda VR, Buse JB, et al; PIONEER 8 Investigators. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of oral semaglutide versus placebo added to insulin with or without metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes: the PIONEER 8 trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2019 Dec;42(12):2262-2271. doi: 10.2337/dc19-0898.
- Zinman B, Gerich J, Buse JB, et al. Efficacy and safety of the human glucagon-like peptide-1 analog liraglutide in combination with metformin and thiazolidinedione in patients with type 2 diabetes (LEAD-4 Met+TZD). *Diabetes Care*. 2009;32(7):1224-1230.
- Zinman B, Hoogwerf BJ, Duran Garcia S, et al. The effect of adding exenatide to a thiazolidinedione in suboptimally controlled type 2 diabetes. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;146:477-485.

Publication Date: 31st March 2020